

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

WP227 No. 6 of 2014

1. Surendra Kumar Sahu S/o Late Naval Kishore Sahu, Aged About 35 Years, R/o Pakhnaguda, Jagdalpur, Post - G.P.O., P.S. - Kotwali, Jagdalpur, Civil and Revenue Distt. Bastar Chhattisgarh, Chhattisgarh
2. Yogendra Kumar Sahu S/o Late Naval Kishore Sahu, Aged About 51 Years, R/o Pakhnaguda, Jagdalpur, Post - G.P.O., P.S. - Kotwali, Jagdalpur, Civil and Revenue Distt. Bastar Chhattisgarh, Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioners

N.A.No.1 & 2

Versus

1. The Commissioner, Bastar Division Jagdalpur, Post - G.P.O., P.S. - Kotwali, Jagdalpur, Civil and Revenue Distt. Bastar Chhattisgarh, Chhattisgarh
2. The Additional Collector, Post- G.P.O., P.S.- Kotwali, Jagdalpur, Civil and Revenue District- Bastar, Chhattisgarh, District : Bastar(Jagdalpur), Chhattisgarh
3. The Sub- Divisional Officer (Rev.) Post - G.P.O., P.S. - Kotwali, Jagdalpur, Civil and Revenue District - Bastar, Chhattisgarh, District : Bastar(Jagdalpur), Chhattisgarh
- Sukhram (Dead) Through Lrs
 - 4.(i) - Smt. Mangobai Wd/o Late Sukhram Muriya, Aged About 77 years,
 - 4.(ii) - Devisingh Muriya S/o Late Sukhram Muriya, Aged About 59 years,
 - 4.(iii) - Rukmani Muriya D/o Late Sukhram Muriya, Aged About 56 Years,
 - 4.(iv) - Usha Muriya D/o Late Sukhram Muriya, Aged About 53 Years,
 - 4.(v) - Rekha Muriya D/o Late Sukhram Muriya, Aged About 45 Years,Respondents No.4(i) to 4(v) all are R/o Rewa Gali, Ward No.4, Masjid Mohalla, P.O. Seoni, Malwa, District Hoshangabad (M.P.)
 - 4.(vi) - Pappu Singh Muriya S/o Late Sukhram Muriya, Aged About 48 Years, R/o Lal Bahadur Shastri Ward No.8, Near Sulabh Complex, Jagdalpur (C.G.) 494001, Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

For Petitioners – None.

For State/respondents No.1 to 3 – Shri Shakti Singh, Panel Lawyer.

For Respondent No.4 – Shri Mehal Jethani, Advocate on behalf of Shri Ankit Singhal, Advocate.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Rajendra Chandra Singh Samant
Order on Board

06-08-2021

1. None appears for the petitioners. This petition has been listed in the weekly list and has been called many times, but there is no appearance from the petitioners' side.

2. Therefore, this Court is left with no other option except to dismiss this petition for want of prosecution.
3. Accordingly, this petition is dismissed for want of prosecution.

Sd/-

(Rajendra Chandra Singh Samant)
Judge