



2026:CGHC:3066



2026:CGHC:3066

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRCA No. 101 of 2026

1 - Amit Kumar Mahilange S/o Gotilal Mahilange Aged About 35 Years R/o House No. 97, Ward No. 59, Dikshit Aata Chakki, Santoshi Nagar, Raipur, District Raipur (C.G.)

... Applicant

versus

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through - P.S. City Kotwali Raipur, District Raipur (C.G.) (P.S. Completely Not Mentioned In Impugned Order)

... Non-applicant

For Applicant : Mr. Vikas Kumar Pandey, Advocate.

For Non-applicant/State : Ms. Ankita Shukla, Panel Lawyer.

Hon'ble Mr. Ramesh Sinha, Chief Justice

Order On Board

19/01/2026

1. This anticipatory bail application under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS, 2023') has been filed by the applicant, who is apprehending his arrest in connection with Crime No.354/2025 registered at Police Station – City Kotwali, Raipur District – Raipur (C.G.) for the offence punishable under Section 108,



3(5) of BNSS, 2023.

2. Prosecution case in brief is that on 04.12.2025, the informant, Saharatin Bai Chandra, discovered her son, Vasudev Chandra, deceased in his room at Shailendra Nagar. After he failed to respond to repeated knocks at approximately 10:30 AM, the door was breached, revealing that he had committed suicide by hanging from a ceiling fan using a plastic rope. Upon arriving at the scene, investigating officers recovered a handwritten "Request Letter" (suicide note) in red ink. The deceased's family identified the handwriting as Vasudev's dated 29.11.2025, the letter alleged that he was being blackmailed and extorted for significant sums—totaling approximately 17–20 lakh rupees by his wife, Aruna Chandra, and daughter, Harshita Chandra. He claimed he was being framed for property damage and saw no alternative but to end his life, specifically naming those responsible. Thereafter police investigated the matter and on the basis of suicide note, the police has registered FIR against the applicant and other co-accused persons.
3. Learned counsel for the applicant would submit that the applicant is innocent person and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and he is falsely implicated in the present case. It is further submitted that the offence punishable under Section 108 of BNS is not made out against the applicant because there is no ingredient of Section 45 of BNS. It is contended further that the applicant was not having any relationship with the deceased person and he never met with the deceased. He further contended that after 18 days of incident, the present applicant has been implicated in this



case on the basis of suicide note and there is no ingredient in the suicide note that the applicant has tortured the deceased. Therefore, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the present applicant.

4. On the other hand, learned State counsel opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the applicant.
5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused all of the documents taken on record.
6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, and further taking into account that the present applicant was implicated after a delay of 18 days from the date of the incident solely on the basis of the suicide note, it is evident that the said suicide note does not disclose any ingredient or specific allegation suggesting that the applicant subjected the deceased to torture or harassment. In the absence of such material attributing culpable conduct to the applicant, and keeping in view the settled principles governing the exercise of inherent powers, I am inclined to extend the benefit of Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (B.N.S.S.) to the applicant.
7. Accordingly, the instant MCRCA is **allowed** and it is directed that in the event of arrest of the applicant – **Amit Kumar Mahilange**, on executing a personal bond with one surety in the like sum to the satisfaction of the arresting Officer, he shall be released on bail on the following conditions:-

(a) The Applicant shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such fact to the Court.



(b) The Applicant shall not act in any manner which will be prejudicial to fair and expeditious trial.

(c) The Applicant shall appear before the trial Court on each and every date given to him by the said Court till disposal of the trial.

(d) The Applicant and the surety shall submit a copy of their adhaar card alongwith a colored postcard full size photo having printed the adhaar number on it, which shall be verified by the trial Court.

(e) The Applicant shall not involve himself in any offence of similar nature in future.

Sd/-
(Ramesh Sinha)
Chief Justice

Nikita