



HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPS No. 509 of 2026

1 - Bala Ram Pal S/o Shri Mansha Ram Pal Aged About 35 Years Presently Posted And Working As Assistant Gr. I I I, Municipal Corporation, Birgaon, District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh

... Petitioner

Versus

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Department Of Urban Administration And Development, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Atal Nagar Naya Raipur, Chhattisgarh

2 - Municipal Corporation, Birgaon Through Its Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Birgaon, District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh

3 - Mani Ram Sahu Assistant Gr. I I, Municipal Corporation, Birgaon, District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh

... Respondents

Order on Board

19/01/2026	Mr. Ashish Shirvastava, Senior Advocate along with Ms. Shotabdi Bagchi, Advocate for the Petitioner. Mr. Suyashdhar Badgaiya, Government Advocate for the Respondent No. 1/State.
-------------------	---

	Mr. Satish Gupta, Advocate for the Respondent No. 2. Issue notice to the respondents. Learned counsel for the respective respondents accepts notice. Hence, no process fee is required to be paid for these respondents. Issue notice to the Respondent No. 3 on payment of process fee, as per rules. Notice be made returnable within 03 weeks. Also heard on I.A. No. 01/2026 which is an application for grant of interim relief. Learned senior counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner who is holding the post of Assistant Grade – III, being the qualified accounts trained employee was directed to work as Accountant. However, due to arbitrary action on the part of the respondents, now the Respondent No. 3 has been directed to work as Accountant vide impugned order dated 02.01.2026 (Annexure P/1), who is not qualified and is not an accounts trained employee. He submits that earlier also, the Respondent No. 3 has been directed to work as an Accountant by the respondent authorities which was subject matter of writ petition bearing WP (S) No. 2860/2022 and the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court has passed an interim order dated 22.04.2022 (Annexure P/8) staying the effect and operation of the impugned order therein. On the other hand, submission of counsel for the petitioner is opposed by the counsel for Respondent No. 2 and he submits that he will file reply to the interim application also. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the documents enclosed along with the writ petition.
--	---

On due consideration of the submission of learned counsel for the parties, facts and circumstances of the case and documents enclosed along with the writ petition, purely as an interim measure, it is directed that the effect and operation of the impugned order dated 02.01.2026 (Annexure P/1) shall remain stayed till the next date of hearing.

Sd/-
(Parth Prateem Sahu)
Judge

Dey