



HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WP227 No. 48 of 2022

KU. CHETISHTHA MALIK *versus* SMT. NEELAM MALIK

Order Sheet

12/11/2024	Mr. Vaibhav Goverdhan, Counsel for the petitioner. Mr. Vinay Pandey, Dy. AG for the State. Notice to respondent No.1 received unserved on both addresses provided by the petitioner. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that despite repeated efforts notice could not be served on respondent No.1, therefore, order may be issued for service of process on pleader in terms of Order 3 Rule 5 of the CPC. Considering the above submission, let notice be issued to respondent No.1 through the pleader engaged by him before the trial Court, in terms of Order 3 Rule 5 of the CPC.



	PF be paid as per rules. List this case upon receipt of service report. I.R. granted earlier shall continue till the next date of hearing. Sd/- (Deepak Kumar Tiwari) Judge
--	---

ajay