



2025:CGHC:38115



2025:CGHC:38116

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

Cont No. 124 of 2025

Abhayram Patel S/o Motiram Patel Aged About 68 Years R/o Village
Basantpur Tehsil Dabhara District - Sakti Chhattisgarh.

--- Petitioner(s)

versus

1 - Rajesh Sukumar Toppo Secretary Water Resource Department,
Mantralaya Mahanadi Bhawan Raipur Naya Raipur District Raipur,
Chhattisgarh (Contemnor)

2 - Indra Jeet Uike Enginneer In Chief, Shivnath Bhawan, Naya Raipur,
District - Raipur, Chhattisgarh (Contemnor)

3 - D.K. Bhumerkar Chief Engineer, Mini Mata Bango Project Bilaspur District
- Bilaspur Chhattisgarh (Contemnor)

4 - Virendra Kanwar Executive Engineer, Water Resource Survey And Bairaj
Construction Division No. 1 Kharsiya District - Raigarh Chhattisgarh
(Contemnor)

5 - Chandan Kumar Secretary Finance Office At Mantralaya Mahanadi
Bhawan, Atal Nagar Raipur, District Raipur, C.G. (Contemnor)

6 - Baleshwar Ram Sub Divisional Officer, Cum Land Acquisition Officer
Dabhara, District - Sakti Chhattisgarh (Contemnor)

--- Respondent(s)

CONT No. 1355 of 2024

Abhayram Patel S/o Motiram Patel Aged About 68 Years R/o Village
Basantpur Tehsil Dabhara District - Sakti Chhattisgarh

---Petitioner(s)

Versus

1 - Rajesh Sukumar Toppo Secretary Water Resource Department Mantralaya
Mahanadi Bhawan Raipur Naya Raipur District Raipur, Chhattisgarh



(Contemnors)

2 - Indra Jeet Uike Engineer In Chief Shivnath Bhawan, Naya Raipur, District - Raipur, Chhattisgarh

3 - D.K. Bhumerkar Chief Engineer Mini Mata Bango Project Bilaspur District - Bilaspur Chhattisgarh

4 - Virendra Kanwar Executive Engineer Water Resource Survey And Bairaj Construction Division No. 1 Kharsiya District - Raigarh Chhattisgarh (Formal Party As He Has Complied His Part)

5 - Baleshwar Ram Sub Divisional Officer Cum Land Acquisition Officer Dabhara, District - Sakti Chhattisgarh (Formal Party As He Has Complied His Part).

---- Respondents

For Petitioners : None.
For Respondent/State : Ms. Upasana Mehta, Dy. GA.

Hon'ble Shri Arvind Kumar Verma, Judge

Order on Board

01.08.2025

1. When the matters are called out for hearing, none appeared on behalf of the petitioners. Even in the second call, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioners.
2. In view of the above, this Court is left with no other option but to dismiss the above petitions for want of prosecution.
3. Accordingly, the above petitions are dismissed for want of prosecution.

CC as per rules.

Sd/-
(Arvind Kumar Verma)
Judge

J/-