



2026:CGHC:2942

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPS No. 521 of 2026

1. Ramkumar Soni S/o Late Rameshwar Soni Aged About 70 Years R/o Ward No. 2, Manjhapar, Kanker, District - North Baster, Kanker Chhattisgarh
2. Smt. Ankul Bai W/o Late Baratu Ram Aged About 65 Years R/o Village - Manhora, Sikosa, Block - Gundardehi, District - Durg Chhattisgarh
3. Smt. Fool Bai W/o Late Kartik Ram Aged About 69 Years R/o Ward No. 13, Dornapal, District - Sukma, Chhattisgarh
4. Banke Singh S/o Late Tuliya Ram Kujam Aged About 62 Years R/o Village - Kochwahi, Post And Thana - Narharpur, District North Baster, Kanker Chhattisgarh

... Petitioners

versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, Public Works Department, Mahanadi Bhawan, Atal Nagar, New Raipur, District - Raipur Chhattisgarh
2. The Executive Engineer Public Works Department, District North Baster Kanker Chhattisgarh
3. The Executive Engineer Public Works Department, District - Sukma Chhattisgarh

... Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Somkant Verma, Advocate
For Respondent-State	:	Mr. Aditya Tiwari, Panel Lawyer

Hon'ble Shri Parth Prateem Sahu, Judge

ORDER ON BOARD

19/01/2026

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners who stood retired as Work Charged and Contingency Paid Employees, are entitled for leave encashment but till date respondents have not extended the benefit of leave encashment to petitioners. Identical issue came up for hearing before this Court in case of **Faguvaram Patel & Ors. vs. State of C.G.**, in **W.P.(S)**



No. 3870 of 2021 decided on **30.09.2022**, wherein petitioners therein have raised identical issue which was allowed in their favour. He submits that at this stage, petitioners may be permitted to submit representation in light of **Faguvaram Patel (supra)** and respondents be directed to decide the representation to be submitted by petitioners expeditiously within specified time.

2. Learned State counsel submits that as petitioners are not pressing this petition on merits and are only seeking a direction to decide the representation to be submitted by petitioners, he is having no objection.
3. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the documents available on record.
4. Taking into consideration, the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners, this petition is disposed of permitting petitioners to submit fresh representation. In the event, petitioners submit representation, their claim shall be considered and decided in accordance with law expeditiously preferably within a period of **three months** from the date of receipt of representation keeping in mind decision in case of **Faguvaram Patel (supra)**.
5. Accordingly, this petition is disposed of with aforesaid observations and directions.

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-

(Parth Prateem Sahu)

JUDGE