

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

Order Sheet

Writ Petition (S) No.508 of 2022Akanksha Pandey **Versus** State of Chhattisgarh and others

SB

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay K. Agrawal

2	
02/02/2022	Proceedings of this matter have been conducted through video conferencing. Mr. Achyut Tiwari, counsel for the petitioner. Mr. Ravi Kumar Bhagat, Deputy Govt. Advocate and Mr. Ishan Verma, Panel Lawyer for the State / respondents No.1 and 2, on advance copy. Heard on admission. <u>Admit.</u> Issue notice. Mr. Bhagat, learned Deputy Govt. Advocate, accepts notice for the State / respondents No.1 and 2, and prays for and is granted four weeks' time to file reply. Issue notice to respondents No.3 and 4 only. PF and copies within seven days. Also heard on the application for grant of interim relief / stay. Mr. Tiwari, learned counsel for the petitioner, would submit that in identical case – W.P.(S)No.126/2022 (Kanchan Chandrakar v. State of Chhattisgarh and others), interim order has been granted on 13-1-2022, therefore, interim order may be granted in this case also. However, Mr. Bhagat, learned State counsel, would submit that W.P.



	(S)No.126/2022 relates to the case of Guest Lecturer and the petitioner herein is challenging the recruitment process for the post of Data Entry Operator; even otherwise, the petitioner has appeared in the subject recruitment process published and has been declared eligible also, therefore, she is not entitled for stay. On 21-9-2021, the petitioner was continuing as Data Entry Operator on contractual basis under the Mahatma Gandhi Rashtriya Gramin Rojgar Guarantee Scheme (MGNREGA) at Janpad Panchayat, Pendra and on 21-9-2021, the respondent Department decided to fill-up the vacant posts including the post of Data Entry Operator and accordingly, advertisement dated 21-9-2021 was issued to which the petitioner has applied. On 31-12-2021, the respondent Department had already issued the list of eligible candidates and the petitioner has already got selected. In that view of the matter, it is not a fit case to stay the advertisement dated 21-9-2021 in which the petitioner had already got selected. Even otherwise, the interim order dated 13-1-2022 passed in W.P.(S)No.126/2022 relates to Guest Lecturer and is not identical to this case. In that view of the matter, the application for grant of interim relief / stay is rejected. List the matter after reply is filed by the State and after respondents No.3 and 4 are served. Sd/- (Sanjay K. Agrawal) Judge
--	---