



2026:CGHC:3489-DB



2026:CGHC:3489-DB

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPCR No. 36 of 2026

Sonu @ Rajesh Sinha S/o Chintaram Sinha, Aged About 35 Years R/o Village Nawagarh, Police Station Nawagarh, District Bemetara C.G.

... **Petitioner**

versus

1 - The State of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Department of Police, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, Atal Nagar, Nawa Raipur, District Raipur C.G.

2 - Superintendent of Police, Bemetara, District Bemetara C.G.

3 - Station House Officer, P.S. Nawagarh, District Bemetara C.G.

... **Respondents**

(Cause-title taken from Case Information System)

For Petitioner	:	Ms. Sakshi Gupta, Advocate
For State/Respondents	:	Mr. Shaleen Singh Baghel, Government Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Ramesh Sinha, Chief Justice
Hon'ble Shri Ravindra Kumar Agrawal, Judge

Order on Board

Per Ramesh Sinha, Chief Justice

21.01.2026

1. Heard Ms. Sakshi Gupta, learned counsel for the petitioner as well as Mr. Shaleen Singh Baghel, learned Government Advocate, appearing on behalf of the State/respondents.



2. The present petition has been filed by the petitioner with the following relief(s):-

“10.1 That this Hon'ble court may kindly be pleased to issue writ in the mandamus directing respondent police authorities, to enquire, the written complaint dated 08.1.2026 & 9.1.2026 (Annexure P/1).

10.2 That, this Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to call for the entire records pertaining to the grievance of the petitioner from the respondent authorities.

10.3 Any other relief, which this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper, may also be passed in favour of the petitioner. ”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that despite written complaints dated 07.12.2025 made to the respondent police authorities regarding assault, life threats, criminal intimidation, and forcible entry into the petitioner's shop by unknown persons, no action whatsoever has been taken. It is submitted that although certain village-level disputes were amicably settled and duly intimated to the police, subsequent serious incidents involving threats to the petitioner's life and property disclosed the commission of cognizable offences, warranting mandatory registration of an FIR and a free, fair, and impartial investigation. The continued inaction of the respondent authorities is arbitrary, violative of the petitioner's fundamental rights, and contrary to the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Lalita Kumari v.**



State of U.P., (2024) 2 SCC 1, thereby leaving the petitioner with no efficacious alternative remedy except to approach this Court.

4. Learned State counsel, on the other hand, has submitted that the grievance of the petitioner can be very well redressed before the Court below by filing an application under Section 156(3) or 200 of the Cr.P.C.(now, under Section 175(3) or under Section 223 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023). He further submits that the controversy involved in the present matter has already been decided by the ***High Court of Allahabad in Misc. Bench No. 24492 of 2020 : Waseem Haider vs. State of U.P. Through Principal Secretary, Home Others*** vide judgment and order dated ***14.12.2020*** as well as by this Court in ***WPCR No. 333 of 2020 (Akhilesh Agrawal vs. State of Chhattisgarh & Others)*** decided on ***12.04.2023***, dismissing the said petition, hence, the present petition be also dismissed in terms of the said order.
5. Accordingly, the present writ petition is **dismissed** with a liberty to the petitioner to avail the appropriate remedy before appropriate Forum.

Sd/-
(Ravindra Kumar Agrawal)
Judge

Sd/-
(Ramesh Sinha)
Chief Justice