



2026:CGHC:3519



2026:CGHC:3519

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPS No. 679 of 2026

1. Vijay Kumar S/o Shri Vinod Kumar Aged About 68 Years R/o 92, Akash Nagar, Rajiv Gandhi Ward No. 22, Jagdalpur, District Bastar (C.G.)
2. Raju Singh Thakur S/o Shri Umed Thakur Aged About 62 Years R/o Umed Thakur House Ward No. 4, Dewarbija, Bemetara, District Bemetara (C.G.)
3. Smt Santbai Sahu W/o Late Dhaluram Sahu Aged About 61 Years R/o Village Semariya Beside Of Primary School, Post Office Nardha, Police Station Vidhansabha, Raipur, District Raipur (C.G.)

... Petitioners

versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Water Resources Department, Mantralaya Mahanadi Bhawan, Atal Nagar New Raipur, District Raipur (C.G.)
2. The Executive Engineer Water Resources Department, Raipur, District Raipur (C.G.)
3. The Joint Director Treasury Accounts And Pension, Raipur Division, District Raipur (C.G.)

... Respondents

For Petitioner	: Mr. Rustam Sahu, Advocate
For Respondent-State	: Ms. Anuja Sharma, Panel Lawyer

Hon'ble Shri Parth Prateem Sahu, Judge

ORDER ON BOARD

21/01/2026

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners who stood retired as Work Charged and Contingency Paid Employees, are entitled for leave encashment but till date respondents have not extended the benefit of leave encashment to petitioners. Identical issue came up for hearing before this Court in case of **Faguvaram Patel & Ors. vs. State of C.G.**, in **W.P.(S) No. 3870 of 2021** decided on **30.09.2022**, wherein petitioners therein have



raised identical issue which was allowed in their favour. He submits that at this stage, petitioners may be permitted to submit representation in light of **Faguvaram Patel (supra)** and respondents be directed to decide the representation to be submitted by petitioners expeditiously within specified time.

2. Learned State counsel submits that as petitioners are not pressing this petition on merits and are only seeking a direction to decide the representation to be submitted by petitioners, he is having no objection.
3. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the documents available on record.
4. Taking into consideration, the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners, this petition is disposed of permitting petitioners to submit fresh representation. In the event, petitioners submit representation, their claim shall be considered and decided in accordance with law expeditiously preferably within a period of **three months** from the date of receipt of representation keeping in mind decision in case of **Faguvaram Patel (supra)**.
5. Accordingly, this petition is disposed of with aforesaid observations and directions.

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-

(Parth Prateem Sahu)

JUDGE