



2026:CGHC:3830



2026:CGHC:3830

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPC No. 293 of 2026

1 - Mithilesh Devi Verma Wd/o Late Vasudev Prasad Verma Aged About 81 Years
R/o House No. HIG-82, MP Nagar, Korba District Korba (C.G.)

... **Petitioner**

versus

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Department Of Energy,
Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Nawa Raipur, Atal Nagar, Distt Raipur (C.G.)

2 - The Chhattisgarh State Power Distribution Company Limited Through The
Managing Director Vidyut Sewa Bhawan, Dangania, Raipur, (C.G.)

3 - The Collector District Korba (C.G.)

4 - The Executive Engineer Chhattisgarh State Power Distribution Company Limited
(O And M) Circle Korba, District Korba (C.G.)

5 - The Executive Engineer CSPDCL, Podimar Zone, Korba City Division, Korba
(East), District Korba (C.G.)

... **Respondents**

(Cause title, as taken from CIS system)

For Petitioner	: Mr. Utkarsh Patel, Advocate
For State/Respondents No.1 & 3	: Mr. Saumitra Kesharwani, Panel Lawyer
For Respondents No.2, 4 & 5	: Ms. Astha Shukla, Advocate appears on behalf of Mr. Mayank Chandrakar, Advocate

(Hon'ble Shri Justice Naresh Kumar Chandravanshi)

Order on Board

22/01/2026



1. Heard.
2. This petition has been preferred by petitioner under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking following reliefs:-

“10.1 That, this Hon’ble Court, may kindly call for the entire record in relates to the case of the Petitioner.

10.2 That, this Hon’ble Court, may kindly be pleased to direct the Respondent authorities to shift the transformer from adjacent land of the Petitioner to any other land within stipulated period, in the interest of justice.

10.3 That, any other relief, this Hon’ble Court, deem fit and proper may also kindly be granted to the Petitioner, in the interest of justice.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the respondents No.2, 4 & 5 have installed electricity pole and transformer in front of open land of the petitioner’s house, which causes hindrance to the petitioner in use of that open land. He further submits that the petitioner has filed applications dated 12.06.2018 (Annexure-P/1) and 16.09.2019 (Annexure-P/2) before respondent No.5 and respondent No.4 respectively, despite that, the same have not been considered and decided by the respondent authorities. He lastly submits that the petitioner is ready to file a fresh representation before respondent No.4 for redressal of her grievance hence, learned counsel prays that this petition may be disposed of with a direction to respondent No.4 to decide the same, within a period specified by this Court.
4. On this, learned counsel appearing for the respondents No.2, 4 & 5 submits that if the petitioner files a fresh representation, it shall be



considered and decided in accordance with law expeditiously.

5. Having considered the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner, without going into the merits of the case, this petition is disposed of by granting liberty to the petitioner to file a fresh representation before respondent No.4, within a period of 30 days from today along with a copy of this order, for redressal of her grievance. It is further directed that upon filing of such representation, the same shall be decided by respondent No.4 within a further period of 30 days from the date of filing of the representation by the petitioner in accordance with applicable law/rules.
6. With aforesaid observation and direction, the writ petition stands **disposed of**.
7. Pending interlocutory application(s), if any, also stands disposed of. No order as to cost(s).

Sd/-
(Naresh Kumar Chandravanshi)
Judge