



HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WA No. 287 of 2026

1. Divakar Singh S/o Harilal Singh Aged About 34 Years R/o Village - Chharcha, Post and Tahsil - Baikunthpur, District - Baikunthpur-Koriya, Chhattisgarh
2. Dhananjay Singh S/o Amarjeet Singh Aged About 30 Years R/o Ward No. 13, Vivekanand Colony, P.S. - Manendragarh, District - Manendragarh Chirmiri Bharatpur Chhattisgarh
3. Riya Das D/o Govind Das Aged About 32 Years R/o Ward No. 15, Pendra Dafai, P.S. - Manendragarh, District - Manendragarh Chirmiri Bharatpur, Chhattisgarh
4. Ajay Bara S/o Dharamsai Bara Aged About 33 Years R/o Village - Tihpatra, District Surguja Chhattisgarh
5. Shilpa Yadav D/o Chandra Kumar Yadav Aged About 33 Years R/o Lig Ground, House No. 280, Padmanabhapur, District - Durg Chhattisgarh
6. Sweta Jendre W/o Chandra Bhan Barre Aged About 34 Years R/o Ward No. 25, Shanta Kunj, Post And Tahsil - Durg, District - Durg Chhattisgarh
7. Rakesh Kumar Chandrakar S/o Vishnu Prasad Chandrakar Aged About 34 Years R/o Village - Khopli, Bastipara, Post And Tahsil - Bagbahra, District Mahasamund Chhattisgarh
8. Kanchan Dadsena D/o Loknath Dadsena Aged About 38 Years R/o Village - Rajasewaiya, Post And Tahsil - Pithora, District Mahasamund, Chhattisgarh

... Appellant(s)

**versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Department Of Revenue And Disaster Management, Mahanadi Bhawan, Naya Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh
2. Commissioner Land Records State Of Chhattisgarh, Indravati Bahwan, 1st Floor Block-2 Nava Raipur, Atal Nagar, District - Raipur Chhattisgarh
3. Director, Land Records State Of Chhattisgarh, Indravati Bahwan, Nava Raipur, Atal Nagar, District - Raipur Chhattisgarh
4. Shri K.D. Kunjam Chairman, High Level Investigation Team, Also Special Secretary, Food Civil Supplies And Consumer Protection Department, Mantralay, Mahanadi Bahavan, Nava Raipur, Atal Nagar, District - Raipur Chhattisgarh
5. Manjeet Kumar Yadav S/o Ram Nagina Yadav Aged About 32 Years R/o Police Line, P.S. - Jainagar, District - Surajpur Chhattisgarh
6. Amit Sinha S/o Abhay Prakash Sinha Aged About 37 Years R/o Village - Govindpur, Turralline, P.S. - Govindpur, District - Surajpur Chhattisgarh
7. Md. Razwan Ali S/o Md Asharaf Ali Aged About 37 Years R/o Ward No. 14, Ketka Rode, P.S. - Surajpur, District - Surajpur Chhattisgarh
8. Prakash Kumar Singh S/o Jai Bahadur Singh Aged About 30 Years R/o In Front Of Rest House, Songara, P.S. - Surajpur, District - Surajpur Chhattisgarh
9. Sarita Keshri W/o Pradeep Jaiswal Aged About 35 Years R/o Village - Brindawan, House No. 37, P.S. - Prem Nagar, Bakalo, District - Surajpur Chhattisgarh
10. Renuka Kashyap W/o Ramshankar Kashyap Aged About 36 Years R/o Village - Pratapur, P.S. - Surajpur, District - Surajpur Chhattisgarh



11. Pooja Mishra W/o Vijay Kumar Mishra Aged About 36 Years R/o Infront Of Carmel Convent School, Satpata, Post - Bishrampur, P.S. - Bishrampur, District - Surajpur Chhattisgarh
12. Arun Kumar Jaiswal S/o Mooldhar Prasad Jaiswal Aged About 42 Years R/o Bhaiyathan Road, Mishra Gali, Gopalpur, P.S. - Gopalpur, District - Surajpur Chhattisgarh
13. Teekan Kumar Rajwade S/o Ishwar Prasad Rajwade Aged About 43 Years R/o Village - N.H. 173 Limbadand, Dwarikanagar, Latori, District - Surajpur Chhattisgarh
14. Samai Pratap Singh S/o Chandrika Prasad Singh Aged About 36 Years R/o Village - Khadgava, Post And Tehsil - Surajpur, District - Surajpur Chhattisgarh
15. Vinod Singh S/o Ramnath Singh Aged About 37 Years R/o Village - Na Damali, Bandhanpara, Post And Tehsil - Surajpur, District - Surajpur Chhattisgarh
16. Ashish Kumar Sahu S/o Late Ramvilas Sahu Aged About 41 Years R/o Ward No. 14, Badkapara, P.S. - Surajpur, District - Surajpur Chhattisgarh
17. Nirmal Kumar Tiwari S/o Ujjain Tiwari Aged About 35 Years R/o Village - Khorma, Post - Pratappur, District - Surajpur Chhattisgarh
18. Manish Kumar Shrivastav S/o Sunil Shrivastav Aged About 35 Years R/o Village - Ramnanagr, P.S. - Ramnagar, District - Surajpur Chhattisgarh
19. Saurabh Goswami S/o R.P. Goswami Aged About 35 Years R/o Village - Badsara, Bhaiyathan, District - Surajpur Chhattisgarh
20. Rajeev Lochan Singh S/o Amarendra Singh Aged About 43 Years R/o Ward No. 10, Premnagar, P.S. - Premnagar, District - Surajpur Chhattisgarh
21. Sunil Kumar Paikra S/o Rajnath Singh Aged About 45 Years R/o Village - Koteya, Post And Tehsil - Surajpur, District - Surajpur Chhattisgarh



22. Amitesh Swarnkar S/o Pradeep Soni Aged About 34 Years R/o Mahavir Ward, D.C. Road, Ambikapur, District Surguja Chhattisgarh
23. Pratap Ram Painkra S/o Shiya Ram Aged About 39 Years R/o Ward No. 7, Sambpur, Tahsil And Post - Latori, District - Surajpur Chhattisgarh
24. Raja Ram Ravi S/o Bihari Ram Aged About 25 Years R/o Ward No. 5, Keshavnagar, District - Surajpur Chhattisgarh
25. Ramchandra S/o Raghunath Aged About 48 Years R/o Ward No. 19, Jampara, P.S. - Baikunthpur, District Koriya Chhattisgarh
26. Ramdev Ram S/o Ashok Kumar Aged About 44 Years R/o Ward No. 11, Kachari Para, P.S. - Baikunthpur, District Koriya Chhattisgarh
27. Asha Bhagat D/o Kamal Sai Bhagat Aged About 32 Years R/o Village - Bhandi, Post And Tahsil - Baikunthpur, District Koriya Chhattisgarh
28. Anjali Gupta D/o Pradeep Gupta Aged About 32 Years R/o Ward No. 14, Bajarpara, P.S. - Baikunthpur, District Koriya Chhattisgarh
29. Prakash Sahu S/o Sobhnath Sahu Aged About 29 Years R/o Ward No. 4, Jobapara, Ohari, Post - Barbaspur, District Koriya Chhattisgarh
30. Surya Kant Nishad S/o Bisauha Ram Nishad Aged About 45 Years R/o Village - Amti, Nikum, Post And Tahsil - Durg, District Durg Chhattisgarh
31. Bhaskar Sahu S/o Mahendra Kumar Sahu Aged About 34 Years R/o Ramnagar Ward 13, Bhilai, District - Durg Chhattisgarh
32. Rakesh Kumar Tamrakar S/o Ram Kumar Tamrakar Aged About 38 Years R/o Ward No. 11, Post And Tahsil - Lavan, District - Baloda Bazar-Bhatapara Chhattisgarh
33. Vinita D/o Shyamji Lal Aged About 35 Years R/o Village - Khokli, Post And Tahsil - Jaraud, District Baloda Bazar-Bhatapara Chhattisgarh



- 34.** Rishikesh Mishra S/o Narendra Mohan Mishra Aged About 43 Years R/o Mahamaya Chock, Post And Tahsil - Lavan, District - Baloda Bazar - Bhatapara Chhattisgarh
- 35.** Satyawan Pathak S/o Rameshwar Prasad Pathak Aged About 42 Years R/o Village Kasdol, District - Baloda Bazar - Bhatapara Chhattisgarh
- 36.** Yogendra Kumar Sharma S/o Mani Prasad Sharma Aged About 44 Years R/o Village - Parsabadher, Post And Tahsil - Baloda Bazar - Bhatapara Chhattisgarh
- 37.** Jitendra Kumar Dhruw S/o Umeram Dhruw Aged About 39 Years R/o Village - Amalidiha, Post And Thasil - Narayanpur, District - Bemetara Chhattisgarh
- 38.** Bhuwan Singh Dhruw S/o Ramphal Dhruw Aged About 46 Years R/o Village - Khapri, Post And Tahsil - Bilaspur, District Bilaspur Chhattisgarh
- 39.** Manish Kumar Varma S/o Uitra Kumar Varma Aged About 33 Years R/o Jabdapara, Post And Tahsil - Bilaspur, District - Bilaspur Chhattisgarh
- 40.** Vibhav Singh S/o M.K. Singh Aged About 50 Years Village - Rajkishor Nagar, Post And Tahsil - Bilaspur, District - Bilaspur Chhattisgarh
- 41.** Bindu Sanadya D/o Ragu Prasad Sanadya Aged About 35 Years R/o Village Mendra, Post And Tahsil - Chakarbhata, District - Bilaspur Chhattisgarh
- 42.** Ajit Kumar Nirmalkar S/o Ram Kumar Nirmalkar Aged About 35 Years R/o Village - Parsada Bharnai, Tahsil - Ganiyari, District - Bilaspur Chhattisgarh
- 43.** Rahul Kumar Sahu S/o Gorelal Sahu Aged About 34 Years R/o Sakri, Post And Tahsil - Sakri, District - Bilaspur Chhattisgarh
- 44.** Sunil Kumar Karn S/o Bachchu Lal Karn Aged About 38 Years R/o Ward No. 21, House No. 21, Tahsil - Rajnandgaon, District - Rajnandgaon Chhattisgarh



- 45.** Shubham Sharma S/o Sunil Kumar Sharma Aged About 32 Years
R/o Ward No. 8, Amapara, Post And Tahsil - Ambagarh-Chouki,
District Mohla Manpur Ambagarh Chhouki Chhattisgarh
- 46.** Pradeep Dewangan S/o Charan Dewangan Aged About 36 Years
R/o Ward No. 19, Gali No. 3, Tahsil - Rajnandgaon, District -
Rajnandgaon Chhattisgarh
- 47.** Bhupendra Kumar Kande S/o Gyan Das Khande Aged About 42
Years R/o Ward No. 12, Gautam Nagar, Tahsil - Rajnandgaon
Chhattisgarh
- 48.** Vibha Mishra Tiwari W/o Alok Tiwari Aged About 41 Years R/o
New Khandelwal Colony, Mamta Nagar, District - Rajnandgaon
Chhattisgarh
- 49.** Shweta Sharma D/o Suresh Kumar Sharma Aged About 38 Years
R/o Ward No. 39, Mahesh Nagar, Tahsil - Rajnandgaon, District -
Rajnandgaon Chhattisgarh
- 50.** Safeer Ahmad Khan S/o Habibulla Khan Aged About 45 Years R/o
Ward No. 17, Post And Tahsil - Rajnandgaon, District
Rajnandgaon Chhattisgarh
- 51.** Mamta Dubey D/o Suresh Kumar Dubey Aged About 34 Years R/o
Ward No. 5, Post And Tahsil - Kawardha, District Kawardha
Chhattisgarh
- 52.** Santram Gote S/o Ramsai Gote Aged About 46 Years R/o Ward
No. 5, Telitola, District Rajnandgaon Chhattisgarh
- 53.** Shakuntala Vaishnav W/o Devesh Vaishnav Aged About 34 Years
R/o Gali No. 2, Ward No. 11, Shantinagar, District - Rajnandgaon
Chhattisgarh
- 54.** Vikesh Kumar Soni S/o Ramesh Chandra Soni Aged About 47
Years R/o House No. 93/2, Ward No. 22, Devan Para, Tahsil -
Rajnandgaon Chhattisgarh
- 55.** Anil Kumar Sahu S/o Tameshwar Prasad Sahu Aged About 34
Years R/o Ward No. 06, Banhardi, District - Rajnadgaon
Chhattisgarh



56. Ray Singh S/o Prem Singh Aged About 35 Years R/o Ward No. 10, Fulkodo, Bharritola, District - Rajnandgaon Chhattisgarh
57. Suman Chandravanshi W/o Human Lal Kanwar Aged About 32 Years R/o Ward No. 14, Manikpur, Post - Ramatola, District - Rajnandgaon Chhattisgarh
58. Jineshwari Sahu W/o Narendra Kumar Sahu Aged About 46 Years R/o Ward No. 4, Matiyaroad, Dongargao, District - Rajnandgaon Chhattisgarh
59. Vikas Kumar Vasnik S/o Vijay Vasnik Aged About 32 Years R/o House No. 62, Ward No. 6, Ambagarh Chouki, District - Mohla Manpur Ambagarh Chouki Chhattisgarh
60. Kanni Lal Beck S/o Jharoo Ram Beck Aged About 51 Years R/o House No. 102/3, Gangapur Khurd, District - Ambikapur-Surguja Chhattisgarh
61. Manoj Kumar S/o Ramdayal Singh Aged About 40 Years R/o Junapara, Krishnanagar, District - Surguja Chhattisgarh
62. Ankita Tirkey D/o Rajrup Tirkey Aged About 33 Years R/o Ghoom Para, Ambikapur, District - Surguja Chhattisgarh
63. Santosh Kumar Ekka S/o Jeetu Markush Ekka Aged About 44 Years House No. 22, Mainpat, District - Surguja Chhattisgarh
64. Anil Kumar Xalxo S/o Benjamin Xalxo Aged About 37 Years R/o Gutara Para, Losanga, District Surguja Chhattisgarh
65. Jeet Lal Singh S/o Bishun Ram Aged About 42 Years R/o Village - Bataikela, District - Surguja Chhattisgarh
66. Surya Prakash Joshi S/o Bhagirathi Joshi Aged About 42 Years R/o Ward No. 46, House No. 17, Bilaspur Road Laxmipur, District - Surguja Chhattisgarh
67. Shripal Beck S/o Dasai Ram Beck Aged About 37 Years R/o Village - Parsapali, District - Surguja Chhattisgarh
68. Anita Kujur D/o Shobha Kujur Aged About 41 Years R/o House No. 214, School Para, Batwahi, District - Surguja Chhattisgarh

...Respondent(s)

(Cause-title taken from Case Information System)



For Appellants	:	Mr. Abbhyuday Singh, Advocate.
For Respondent/State	:	Mr. Prasun Bhaduri, Deputy Advocate General.

Hon'ble Shri Ramesh Sinha, Chief Justice

Hon'ble Shri Ravindra Kumar Agrawal, Judge

Judgment on Board

Per Ramesh Sinha, Chief Justice

10.04.2026

1. Heard Mr. Abhyuday Singh, learned counsel for the appellants. Also heard Mr. Prasun Bhaduri, learned Deputy Advocate General, appearing for the State.

2. The present intra Court appeal has been filed by the appellants against the order dated **02.01.2026** passed by the learned Single Judge in **WPS No. 1206 of 2025 (*Dhananjay Singh & Others vs. State of Chhattisgarh & Others*)**, whereby the writ petition filed by the appellants/writ petitioners herein has been dismissed by the learned Single Judge.

3. It has been pointed out by learned counsel for the parties that in an identical matter, this Bench had dismissed **WA No. 149 of 2026 (*Satish Kumar Das & Others vs. State of Chhattisgarh & Others*)** vide order dated **26.02.2026**, observing as follows :

“7. Perusal of the impugned order would demonstrate that the learned Single Judge framed the core issue for determination as to whether the departmental examination conducted in the year 2023–2024 for



promotion from Patwari to Revenue Inspector was held in a fair and transparent manner, or whether a direction ought to be issued to the State to send the successful candidates for training. The Court observed that though there may not be any direct material to record a definitive finding, it would examine the matter on the principle of preponderance of probabilities, particularly in light of the report dated 29.11.2024. Upon consideration of the said report, the Court noted certain procedural aspects, including the provision for mentioning mobile numbers on OMR sheets, which, according to the Court, could affect the purity of the examination by enabling identification of candidates, thereby creating doubt regarding fairness. The Court further observed that the number of questions had been increased from 50 to 100 within the same 90-minute duration, raising suspicion as to the feasibility of attempting all questions, particularly where some candidates had secured very high marks. Additionally, the allotment of consecutive roll numbers to 22 close relatives posted in different districts was considered as a circumstance giving rise to doubt. Relying upon the principles laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Vanshika Yadav vs. Union of India and State of West Bengal vs. Baishakhi Bhattacharyya (Chatterjee) & Others, the Court emphasized that sanctity and integrity of examinations must be preserved and that systemic compromise may justify cancellation. The Court distinguished the precedents cited by the petitioners on the grounds of estoppel and legitimate expectation, holding them inapplicable to the present facts. Consequently, the learned Single Judge concluded that the selection



process was tainted with signs of favoritism and nepotism and was not conducted in a fair and transparent manner, therefore, no direction could be issued to send the petitioners for training. While clarifying that the observations shall not affect the pending criminal proceedings, the Court granted liberty to the State to conduct a fresh examination and dismissed the writ petitions accordingly.

8. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel appearing for the parties and upon perusing the impugned order, we notice that the same has been rendered by the learned Single Judge with cogent and justifiable reasons. In an intra-court appeal, no interference is usually warranted unless palpable infirmities are noticed on a plain reading of the impugned order. In the facts and circumstances of the instant case, on a plain reading of order, we do not notice any such palpable infirmities or perversities, as such we are not inclined to interfere with the impugned order.

9. Accordingly, the writ appeal being devoid of merit is liable to be and is hereby dismissed. No cost(s).

10. Pending applications, if any, stand dismissed.”

They further submitted that since the facts and issue involved in the present case is identical to that of WA No. 149 of 2026, this appeal may also be dismissed in the same terms.

4. Having considered the rival submissions advanced by the learned counsel for the parties and upon perusal of the materials available on record, it is evident that the facts and the issues involved in the present



appeal are identical to those in **WA No. 149 of 2026**. Accordingly, this Court deems it appropriate to adopt the view taken in **WA No. 149 of 2026** and does not find any reason to take a different view in the present matter.

5. Accordingly, the present appeal is **dismissed** in terms of the order dated **26.02.2026** passed in **WA No. 149 of 2026**.

Sd/-
(Ravindra Kumar Agrawal)
Judge

Sd/-
(Ramesh Sinha)
Chief Justice