



2026:CGHC:9276



2026:CGHC:9276

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**WPS No. 987 of 2026**

Kanchan Kerketta D/o Late Shri Emanuel Kerketta Aged About 32 Years R/o Ambedkar Ward No. 29, Jagdalpur District Bastar C.G., Permanent R/o Village Jorajam, Post Rengle Tahsil Bagicha, District : Jashpur, Chhattisgarh

... Petitioner(s)**versus**

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, School Education Department, Mantralaya Mahanadi Bhawan, Atal Nagar Police Station- Rakhi, New Raipur, District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh

2 – Director, Directorate Of Public Instructions, III Floor Indrawati Bhawan, New Raipur, District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh

3 - District Education Officer Jashpur, District : Jashpur, Chhattisgarh

... Respondent(s)

For Petitioner : Mr. Saket Pandey, Advocate

For Respondents/State : Ms. Anuja Sharma, Dy. Govt. Advocate

S.B.: Hon'ble Shri Parth Prateem Sahu, Judge**Order on Board****23/02/2026**

1. Petitioner has filed this writ petition seeking following reliefs:

“10.1 The Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to kindly call for the records of the case from the Respondents.

10.2 The Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to kindly promote the petitioner to their next promotional post as A.G.II., in the interest of Justice.

10.3 The Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to kindly direct the respondent authorities to decide



the representation of the petitioner submitted before the respondent authorities.”

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner was appointed on the post of Assistant Grade-III on probation of two years. She has completed probation period successfully and after completion of minimum period of service as provided under applicable service rules, she became eligible for promotion on the post of Assistant Grade-II. However, petitioner is apprehending that her candidature for promotion would not be considered and therefore petitioner may be permitted to submit representation before respondents No. 2 & 3 and concerned authority be directed to take decision on representation to be submitted by the petitioner at the earliest.

3. Learned counsel for the State/respondents submits that he is having no objection to the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

4. On due consideration of submission of learned counsel for the parties, without entering into merit of the case, this writ petition at this stage is disposed of permitting the petitioner to submit representation before respondents No. 2 & 3 and in the event such representation is submitted by the petitioner, concerned authority shall consider and take decision on representation to be submitted by petitioner within a further period of two months from the date of receipt of representation.

Sd/-

(Parth Prateem Sahu)
Judge