



2026:CGHC:3299



2026:CGHC:3299

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR
MCRC No. 481 of 2026

Happy Rangi @ Vaibhav Rangi S/o Late Jitendra Singh Rangi Aged About 25 Years R/o 601, Millennium Chowk Sundari Nagar Raipur, Tehsil And District Raipur C.G.

... Applicant

versus

State Of Chhattisgarh Through SHO D.D. Nagar, Raipur, District – Raipur, C.G.

---- Non-applicant

For Applicant : Mr. Dheerendra Pandey, Advocate.

For Non-applicant/State : Ms. Vaishali Mahilong, Dy. Govt. Advocate.

Hon'ble Shri Ramesh Sinha, Chief Justice

Order on Board

20.01.2026

1. The applicant has preferred this First Bail Application under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of regular bail, as he has been arrested in connection with Crime No. 410/2025, registered at Police Station – D.D. Nagar, Raipur, District – Raipur (C.G.) for the offence punishable under Sections 109(1) and 3(5) of the BNS.

2. The case of the prosecution, is that a report made by Complainant Ayush Agrawal on September 12, 2025, stating that on September 11, 2025, he, along with Rajit and Shahrukh, were going to Kota Snooker Game to celebrate Shubham Mishra's birthday in a Hyundai i20 car bearing registration number CG 04/NT/3526. At around 9:30 PM, as



they reached near Rohinipuram pond, Om Dubey and his friends Aman, Vaibhav alias Happy Rangi, Shubham alias Akash Thakur alias Rishabh Thakur, who arrived in a car bearing registration number CG 04/AS/0738, started verbally abusing them with obscene and vulgar language due to an old dispute. Despite being asked to stop, they continued the abuse and started physically assaulting them with punches and kicks. At that time, Om Dubey and his associates, with the intention of killing him, tried to stab Ayush Agrawal in the stomach with a knife. When the complainant ran away, they stabbed him near his waist, causing injury. If the complainant had not run away, Om Dubey and his associates would have killed Ayush Agrawal with the knife. Rajit Khan, Shahrukh, and Shubham Mishra witnessed the incident. Based on the report, Crime was registered. Hence, this application.

3. It is argued by the learned counsel for the applicant that the applicant is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case and there are 4 criminal antecedents registered against the present applicant out of which 03 are pending. It is further submitted that the charge-sheet has been filed in this case. It is further submitted that there are cross reports of the incident the both the sides have received injuries, moreover, initially the FIR was lodged by the applicants side bearing Crime No. 409/2025 registered at P.S. – D.D. Nagar, Raipur, District – Raipur (C.G.) for the offence under Sections 296, 109(1), 191(2), 192(3) and 3(5) of BNS, and in the said crime number, the complainant Ayush Agrawal was also arrested and has been granted bail by this Court vide order dated 14.01.2026 passed in MCRC No. 459/2026.



The applicant is in jail since 12.09.2025 and trial is likely to take quite long time for its conclusion, therefore, he prays for grant of bail.

4. On the other hand, the learned State counsel opposes the bail application and submits that there are 4 criminal antecedents registered against the present applicant, and the charge-sheet has been filed in this case. It is further submitted that the applicant along with the co-accused is said to have assaulted the injured, due to which he has sustained injuries on his person, therefore, he is not entitled for grant of bail.
5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused all of the documents available on record.
6. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case, nature and gravity of allegation levelled against the applicant and the fact that there are 4 criminal antecedents registered against the present applicant out of which 03 are pending, charge-sheet has been filed against the applicant, further the fact that there are cross reports of the incident the both the sides have received injuries, moreover, initially the FIR was lodged by the applicants side bearing Crime No. 409/2025 registered at P.S. – D.D. Nagar, Raipur, District – Raipur (C.G.) for the offence under Sections 296, 109(1), 191(2), 192(3) and 3(5) of BNS, and in the said crime number, the complainant Ayush Agrawal was also arrested and has been granted bail by this Court vide order dated 14.01.2026 passed in MCRC No. 459/2026, the applicant is in jail since 12.09.2025 and conclusion of the trial is likely to take some time, I am inclined to allow this application.



7. Let applicant, **Happy Rangi @ Vaibhav Rangi**, involved in Crime No. 410/2025, registered at Police Station – D.D. Nagar, Raipur, District – Raipur (C.G.) for the offence punishable under Sections 109(1) and 3(5) of the BNS, be released on bail on his furnishing **a personal bond** with **two sureties** in the like sum to the satisfaction of the court concerned with the following conditions:-

(i) The applicant shall file an undertaking to the effect that he shall not seek any adjournment on the dates fixed for evidence when the witnesses are present in court. In case of default of this condition, it shall be open for the trial court to treat it as abuse of liberty of bail and pass orders in accordance with law.

(ii) The applicant shall remain present before the trial court on each date fixed, either personally or through his counsel. In case of his absence, without sufficient cause, the trial court may proceed against him under Section 269 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

(iii) In case, the applicant misuses the liberty of bail during trial and in order to secure his presence, proclamation under Section 84 of BNSS. is issued and the applicant fails to appear before the court on the date fixed in such proclamation, then, the trial court shall initiate proceedings against him, in accordance with law, under Section 209 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

(iv) The applicant shall remain present, in person, before the trial court on the dates fixed for (i) opening of the case, (ii) framing of charge and (iii) recording of statement under Section 351 of BNSS. If in the opinion of the trial court absence of the applicant is deliberate or without sufficient cause, then it shall be open for the trial court to treat such default as abuse of liberty of bail and proceed against him in accordance with law.

8. Office is directed to send a certified copy of this order to the trial Court concerned for necessary information and compliance forthwith.

Sd/-
(Ramesh Sinha)
Chief Justice