



NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

CRA No. 218 of 2026

Aashik Dewar S/o Bhakta Dewar Aged About 21 Years R/o Nawapara
Dadhi, P.S. Dadhi, District Bemetara Chhattisgarh.

... Appellant.

versus

State Of Chhattisgarh Through - Station House Officer, Police Station
Bemetara, District Bemetara (C.G.).

---- Respondents

26/02/2026	Mr. Rekhraj Baghel, Advocate for the appellant. Mr. Rajkumar Sahu, PL for the State. Heard on IA No.2, application for condonation of delay of 127 days in filing this appeal as well as on IA No.3, application for exemption from filing certified copy of impugned judgment. On due consideration, above applications (IA Nos.2 & 3) are allowed. Delay in filing the appeal is hereby condoned. Applicant is exempted from filing the certified copy of impugned judgment.

Admit.

Also heard on I.A. No.1, application for suspension of sentence and grant of bail to appellant.

By the impugned judgment dated 04/07/2025 (Annexure A-1), the appellant stands convicted as under:-

Conviction	Sentence
Under Section 110 read with Section 3(5) of BNS.	RI for 03 years & fine of amount Rs.1,000/-, in default of payment of fine, 03 months additional SI.
U/s 121(1) read with Section 3(5) of BNS.	RI for 04 years & fine of amount Rs.1,000/-, in default of payment of fine, 03 months additional SI.
U/s 132 read with Section 3(5) of BNS.	RI for 01 year & fine of amount Rs.500/-, in default of payment of fine, 01 month additional SI.
U/s 223 read with Section 3(5) of BNS.	RI for 06 months & fine of amount Rs.500/-, in default of payment of fine, 15 days additional SI.
U/s 324 read with Section 3(5) of BNS.	RI for 06 months & fine of amount Rs.500/-, in default of payment of fine, 15 days additional SI.

Learned counsel for the appellant submits that appellant has been falsely implicated in this case, he has not committed any offence as alleged against him. During trial, appellant was on bail and he never misused the liberty granted to him earlier. After impugned judgment of conviction, he is in jail and thereby already served about 09 months and 20 days of jail sentence. Co-accused Rahul Dewar has already been acquitted by this Court vide order dated 03.12.2025 in CRA No.2252/2025. Appeal may take some time for final hearing, hence, sentence awarded to appellant may be suspended and he be enlarged on bail.

Learned State Counsel opposing the bail application of the appellant.

Heard counsel for the parties.

Order of acquittal of co-accused dated 03.12.2025 passed by this Court in CRA No.2252/2025 be taken on record.

Considering facts of the case, submissions of counsel for the parties, maximum period of jail sentence awarded to appellant, further the fact that appellant has already served about 09 months and 20 days of jail sentence, co-accused Rahul Dewar has already

been acquitted by this Court vide order dated 03.12.2025 in CRA No.2252/2025, appeal may take some time for final hearing, I am of the view that present is a fit case to suspend sentence and release the appellant on bail.

Accordingly, I.A. No.1, application for suspension of sentence and grant of bail to appellant is allowed. It is directed that execution of substantive jail sentences imposed on appellant shall remain suspended and he be released on bail on his executing a personal bond for a sum **Rs.10,000/-** with one surety for the like sum to the satisfaction of the Trial Court for his appearance before the Registry of this Court on **07.04.2025**. He shall thereafter appear before the Trial Court on a date to be given by the Registry of this Court and shall continue to appear there on all such other subsequent dates as are given to him by the said Court, till disposal of this appeal.

List this case for final hearing in due course.

Certified copy, as per rules.

Sd/-
(Arvind Kumar Verma)
Judge

J/-