



2026:CGHC:3265



2026:CGHC:3265

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPC No. 254 of 2026

Rajkumari Tiwari W/o Panchanand Tiwari Aged About 65 Years R/o Jailpara,
Naraibodh, P.S.- Kusmunda, Tehsil- Katghora, District : Korba, Chhattisgarh

... **Petitioner**

versus

1 - South Eastern Coalfields Limited Through Chairman-Cum-Managing Director,
South Eastern Coalfields Limited, Seepat Road, Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh

2 - General Manager South Eastern Coalfields Limited, Gevra Area, District : Korba,
Chhattisgarh

3 - Chief General Manager South Eastern Coalfields Limited, Gevra Area, District :
Korba, Chhattisgarh

4 - Staff Officer (Land Revenue) South Eastern Coalfields Limited, Gevra Area,
District : Korba, Chhattisgarh

.. **Respondents**

For Petitioner	: Ms. Mahi Pandey, Advocate
For Respondents	: Mr. Sudhir Bajpai, Advocate

(Hon'ble Shri Justice Naresh Kumar Chandravanshi)

Order on Board

20.01.2026

1. The petitioner has preferred this writ petition under Article 226 of the
Constitution of India, seeking following relief(s):-

10.1 The Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to call for
the entire record pertaining to the case of the petitioner.

10.2. The Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to direct the
respondent authorities to provide employment to the
petitioner in lieu of the acquired land as per the prevailing
policy.

10.3. That, the Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to direct



the respondent No.2 to decide the application of the petitioner and grant employment in lieu of land acquired.

10.4. Any other relief, which this Hon'ble Court may deems fit and proper may also be awarded to the petitioner including the cost of the petition.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is a land oustee and against her land acquired by the South Eastern Coalfields Limited (SECL), compensation has been granted, but employment has not been granted as per the rehabilitation policy. She next submits that representation dated 14.11.2025 (Annexure-P/1) has been filed by the petitioner before respondent No.2, however the same has not been decided yet. She further submits that this petition may be disposed of directing respondent No.2 to decide the representation of the petitioner as per the rehabilitation policy, within a period specified by this Court.

3. In reply, learned counsel for respondents submits that the representation filed by the petitioner shall be considered and decided as per the applicable law/rules/guidelines/policy at the earliest.

4. Having considered the limited prayer of the petitioner, this petition is disposed of directing respondents No.2 to decide the representation dated 14.11.2025 (Annexure-P/1) filed by the petitioner as per the rehabilitation policy and other applicable rules in accordance with law at the earliest, preferably within 90 days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

5. With aforesaid direction and observation, this petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-

(Naresh Kumar Chandravanshi)

Judge