



2026:CGHC:3187



2026:CGHC:3187

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPS No. 349 of 2026

1 - Ankit Yadu S/o Late Sappu Yadu, Aged About 33 Years Assistant Grade- 2, Municipal Corporation Bhilai Charoda, District- Durg (C.G.) R/o House No. 260, Changorabhata Near Shitla Mandir, Changorabhata, Sunder Nagar P.S. D.D. Nagar, Raipur (C.G.) - 492013

... **Petitioner**

Versus

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, Urban Administration And Development Department, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhavan, Atal Nagar, Naya Raipur (C.G.)

2 - Director Directorate Of Urban Administration And Development Department, Indrawati Bhavan, Atal Nagar Naya Raipur (C.G.)

3 - Commissioner , Municipal Corporation Risali, District- Durg (C.G.)

4 - Commissioner Municipal Corporation, Bhilai Charoda District- Durg , (C.G.)

... **Respondents**

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Uttam Pandey, Advocate
For Respondents No. 1 & 2/State	:	Ms. Anuja Sharma, Dy. Government Advocate
For Respondent No. 3	:	Mr. Raj Shengale, Advocate
For Respondent No. 4	:	Mr. Bhaskar Payashi, Advocate

SB: Hon'ble Shri Parth Prateem Sahu, Judge

ORDER ON BOARD

20/01/2026

1. The petitioner has filed this writ petition seeking following reliefs:-



"10.1 That, the Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to summon the entire record pertaining to the case of the petitioner from the office of concerned respondents by issuing a Writ of Certiorari.

10.2 That, This Hon'ble Court be pleased to command the respondents and order them to decide the representations of the petitioner within a reasonable time, preferably within 30 days, and further be pleased to order the R-1 and R-2 to send back him to his original department so that the corporation may utilize his services in an effective way and misuse of time money may be saved in the larger interest of public in general and justice.

10.3 That, cost of the petition be awarded to the petitioner, as after a long wait when the department turned deaf to his request, he was compelled to approach before this Hon'ble Court on his own expenses, and cost.

10.4 Any appropriate writ, direction or order may also kindly be passed which this Hon'ble Court deems fit and appropriate in the circumstances of the case in the interest of justice."

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was initially appointed as Assistant Grade – III in the office of Municipal Corporation Raipur. Petitioner is an employee of the Municipal Corporation Raipur, however, the petitioner was initially transferred vide order dated 30.09.2021 passed by the Respondent No. 1 from Municipal Corporation Raipur to Municipal Corporation Risali and where, the petitioner where the petitioner was in excess than the sanctioned posts. Petitioner thereafter was again transferred from Municipal Corporation, Risali, District – Durg to Municipal Corporation Charoda, Bhilai, District – Durg vide order dated 18.09.2025.
3. He contended that when petitioner is an employee of one Municipal Corporation, then, he cannot be transferred to another corporation, but can be sent on deputation only. However, there is no order of sending petitioner on deputation to another corporation. He submits that the petitioner has submitted her representation before the Respondent No. 1 on 04.12.2025, however till date, her representation has not been decided



and therefore, direction be issued to the Respondent No. 1 to take decision on the pending representation at the earliest.

4. On the other hand, learned counsel for the Respondents/State submits that as the petitioner is not pressing this writ petition on merits and is only seeking a direction to the Respondent No. 1, to take decision on representation, he is having no objection to the limited prayer made by counsel for the petitioner. If representation is still pending consideration, it will be considered and decided in accordance with law.
5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the documents placed on record.
6. On due consideration of the submission of counsel for the respective parties, facts and circumstances of the case, nature of grievance raised and further submission of counsel for the petitioner that petitioner has already raised grievance before the Respondent No. 1 by submitting a representation on 04.12.2025, without entering into the merits of the case, this writ petition is disposed of at this stage, permitting the petitioner to submit afresh comprehensive representation raising all the grounds before the Respondent No. 1 and if such a representation is submitted by the petitioner, concerned authority shall consider and take decision on the representation submitted by the petitioner in accordance with law expeditiously, preferably within a further period of 02 months from the date of receipt of the order.
7. With the aforesaid observation and direction, this writ petition stands **disposed of**.

Sd/-
(Parth Prateem Sahu)
Judge