

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**FIRST APPEAL NO. 09 OF 2007**

1. Lakshmi Bai (Died & Deleted, Through LRs) in compliance of Honble Court Order Dated 19-09-2022:-

1A. Khemchand Jain, S/o Late Surajmal Jain, aged about 83 years, R/o Banya Para, Main Road, Dhamtari, Tehsil & District Dhamtari (C.G.)

1B. Smt. Sandhya Jain, D/o Khemchand Jain, aged about 56 years, W/o Premchand Jain, R/o Banya Para, Main Road, Dhamtari, Tehsil & District Dhamtari (C.G.)

1C. Smt. Ritu Jain, D/o Khemchand Jain, aged about 50 years, W/o Kailashchand Jain, R/o Banya Para, Main Road, Dhamtari, Tehsil & District Dhamtari (C.G.)

1D. Smt. Meena Jain, D/o Khemchand Jain, aged about 47 years, W/o Late Goutamchand Jain, R/o Banya Para, Main Road, Dhamtari, Tehsil & District Dhamtari (C.G.)

1E. Smt. Lata Jain, D/o Khemchand Jain, aged about 45 years, W/o Kantilal Jain, R/o Banya Para, Main Road, Dhamtari, Tehsil & District Dhamtari (C.G.)

1.F. Surendra Jain, S/o Khemchand Jain, aged about 53 years, R/o Banya Para, Main Road, Dhamtari, Tehsil & District Dhamtari (C.G.)

... Appellants

versus

1. Ishwarchand Dewangan, S/o Manguram Dewangan, aged about 55 years, R/o Village Achhota, Tahsil Dhamtari, District Dhamtari (CG)

2. State of Chhattisgarh, through the Collector, Dhamtari, District Dhamtari (C.G.)

3. Gayachand, S/o Jhumarlal Jain (Sancheti), aged about 50 years, R/o Narharpur, Tahsil Narharpur, District Kanker (C.G.)

4. Rameshwar Prasad Sahu, S/o Gopal Prasad Sahu, aged about 39 years, R/o Ward Danitola, Tahsil Dhamtari, District Dhamtari (C.G.)

... Respondents

For Appellants	:	None.
For Respondent No.1	:	Mr. Palash Agrawal, Adv., under instructions of Mr. R.S. Patel, Adv.
For Respondent No.3	:	Mr. Vivek Sharma, Adv., under instructions of Mr. Anil Gulati, Adv.

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy

Order on Board

[01/11/2022]

1. None appears for the Appellants even when the matter is called out on the second round.

2. Learned Counsel appearing for the Respondents submits that on both the occasions he had informed the Counsel for the Appellants.

3. In the absence of any representation on behalf of the Appellants, this Court is left with no other option but to dismiss the present First Appeal for want of prosecution.

4. The First Appeal accordingly stands dismissed for want of prosecution.

**Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge**