



2026:CGHC:3300



2026:CGHC:3300

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRC No. 478 of 2026

Dinesh Dewar S/o Bheema Dewar Aged About 19 Years R/o Kargil Chowk, Dewar Para, Arjunda, Ward No. 15, Police Station- Arjunda, District- Balod, Chhattisgarh.

... **Applicant**

versus

State Of Chhattisgarh Through Police Station- Padmanabhpur, District – Durg, Chhattisgarh.

---- **Non-applicant**

For Applicant : Mr. Virendra Kashyap, Advocate.

For Non-applicant/State : Ms. Monika Thakur, Panel Lawyer.

Hon'ble Shri Ramesh Sinha, Chief Justice

Order on Board

20.01.2026

1. The applicant has preferred this First Bail Application under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of regular bail, as he has been arrested in connection with Crime No. 210/2025, registered at Police Station – Padmanabhpur, District – Durg (C.G.) for the offence punishable under Sections 303(2), 111(1) and 3(5) of the BNS.
2. The case of the prosecution, is that the FIR was registered at Police Station concerned wherein the complainant a medical shop owner alleged that his motorcycle bearing registration No. CG-07-AX-0436 was stolen during the night hours while it was parked outside his



house. The said FIR was lodged against the unknown persons, and during the course of investigation, the applicant was arrested by the Police. Hence, this application.

3. It is argued by the learned counsel for the applicant that the applicant is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case and there are 3 criminal antecedents registered against the present applicant out of which in 2 cases the applicant has been granted bail. It is further submitted that the charge-sheet has been filed in this case. The applicant is in jail since 14.07.2025 and trial is likely to take quite long time for its conclusion, therefore, he prays for grant of bail.
4. On the other hand, the learned State counsel opposes the bail application and submits that there are 3 criminal antecedents registered against the present applicant, and the charge-sheet has been filed in this case. It is further submitted that the applicant is said to have stolen the motorcycle of the complainant, therefore, he is not entitled for grant of bail.
5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused all of the documents available on record.
6. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case, nature and gravity of allegation levelled against the applicant and the fact that there are 3 criminal antecedents registered against the present applicant out of which in 2 cases the applicant has been granted bail, charge-sheet has been filed against the applicant, the applicant is in jail since 14.07.2025 and conclusion of the trial is likely to take some time, I am inclined to allow this application.



7. Let applicant, **Dinesh Dewar**, involved in Crime No. 210/2025, registered at Police Station – Padmanabhpur, District – Durg (C.G.) for the offence punishable under Sections 303(2), 111(1) and 3(5) of the BNS, be released on bail on his furnishing **a personal bond** with **two sureties** in the like sum to the satisfaction of the Court concerned with the following conditions:-

(i) The applicant shall file an undertaking to the effect that he shall not seek any adjournment on the dates fixed for evidence when the witnesses are present in court. In case of default of this condition, it shall be open for the trial court to treat it as abuse of liberty of bail and pass orders in accordance with law.

(ii) The applicant shall remain present before the trial court on each date fixed, either personally or through his counsel. In case of his absence, without sufficient cause, the trial court may proceed against him under Section 269 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

(iii) In case, the applicant misuses the liberty of bail during trial and in order to secure his presence, proclamation under Section 84 of BNSS. is issued and the applicant fails to appear before the court on the date fixed in such proclamation, then, the trial court shall initiate proceedings against him, in accordance with law, under Section 209 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

(iv) The applicant shall remain present, in person, before the trial court on the dates fixed for (i) opening of the case, (ii) framing of charge and (iii) recording of statement under Section 351 of BNSS. If in the opinion of the trial court absence of the applicant is deliberate or without sufficient cause, then it shall be open for the trial court to treat such default as abuse of liberty of bail and proceed against him in accordance with law.

8. Office is directed to send a certified copy of this order to the trial Court concerned for necessary information and compliance forthwith.

Sd/-
(Ramesh Sinha)
Chief Justice