



2026:CGHC:37564



CGHC010005222026

2026:CGHC:37564

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

CRR No. 126 of 2026

Shrimati Kishani Bai Sonkar W/o Sankarlal Sonkar Aged About 54 Years
R/o- House No. 420, Sheetla Chowk, Gokulpur Ward Dhamatari, P.S And
Tehsil And Dist. Dhamatari (C.G.)

... Applicant

versus

Shankarlal Sonkar S/o Late Ramhau Sonkar Aged About 60 Years R/o
Village Gunderdehi, Sonkar Para Ward No. 05, Tehsil- Gunderdehi, Dist.
Balod (C.G.)

... Respondent

For Applicant(s) : Ms. Priyanshi Dubey, Advocate.

For Respondent(s) : None.

Hon'ble Shri Ramesh Sinha, Chief Justice

Order on Board

21/08/2026

1. Heard Ms. Priyanshi Dubey, learned counsel for the applicant.
2. This criminal revision has been filed by the applicant with the following prayer:

"It is, therefore, prayed that this Hon'ble Court
may kindly be pleased to set aside the
impugned order dated 14/07/2025 (Annexure



A/1) and grant Rs. 25,000 per month in favour of the applicant in the interest of justice.”

3. It transpires from the report of Registry that the present criminal revision barred by 81 days of delay and application for condonation of delay in filing the present revision is not filed on behalf of the applicant.

4. On perusal of the record, it is evident from the report of the Registry that the present criminal revision has been filed beyond the prescribed period of limitation and no application for condonation of delay under the relevant provisions of law has been filed by the applicant explaining the delay in filing the revision.

5. It is well settled that a petition or revision filed beyond the period of limitation cannot be entertained unless the delay is satisfactorily explained by filing an appropriate application seeking condonation of delay. In absence of such an application, the Court has no jurisdiction to condone the delay.

6. In the present case, neither any explanation for the delay has been placed on record nor any prayer has been made seeking condonation of delay. Consequently, the revision suffers from defect of limitation.

7. Accordingly, the revision is hereby **dismissed** on the ground of delay and laches.

Sd/-
(Ramesh Sinha)
Chief Justice