



2026:CGHC:3046

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**MCRC No. 124 of 2026**

Durjan Vishwakarma S/o Shri Brijlal Vishwakarma Aged About 41 Years R/o Village - Makardona, Police Station - Keregaon, Tahsil - Dhamtari, Distt - Dhamtari (C.G.)

... Applicant**versus**

State of Chhattisgarh Through Station House Officer, Police Station - Keregaon, Distt - Dhamtari (C.G.)

... Respondent

For Applicant	:	Mr. Shreyansh Pathak, Adv.
For Respondent/State	:	Ms. Priya Sharma, P.L.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay Kumar Jaiswal**Order On Board****19/01/2026**

1. The applicant has preferred this 1st bail application under Section 483 of Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita Act 2023 for grant of regular bail as he is arrested in connection with crime No. 25/2025, registered at Police Station – Keregaon, District- Dhamtari (C.G.) for offence punishable under Section 103 (1) & 3(5) of the BNS, 2023.
2. As per prosecution's case, the allegation against the present applicant is that on 25.10.2025, he along with other co-accused Mukesh Vishwakarma who is son-in-law of the applicant assaulted Bhanupratap Mandawi with knife due to which Bhanupratap sustained grievous injuries and died. Based on above, offence has been registered against the applicant and he was arrested on 27.10.2025.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant is innocent and has been implicated only on the basis of memorandum statement of co-accused. There was no seizure from the applicant herein. The dispute took place between the deceased and the co-accused. He further submits that the applicant is in jail since 27.10.2025, the trial is not commenced till date and it is likely to take some time to be finalized, therefore, it is prayed that the applicant may be enlarged on bail.
4. On the other hand, the State counsel opposes the bail application, submitting that the applicant has also assaulted the deceased and blood stained clothes have also been seized from him, therefore, looking to the nature of offence, the applicant may not be granted benefit of bail.
5. I have heard learned Counsel appearing for the parties and perused the material available on record.
6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, evidence collected by the prosecution and further considering the fact that the trial has not commenced, without commenting on merits of the case, I am not inclined to release the applicant on bail.
7. Accordingly, the bail application is **rejected**.

Sd/-
(Sanjay Kumar Jaiswal)
Judge