



2024:CGHC:45425



2024:CGHC:45425

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPC No. 265 of 2014

1 - Smt.Manju Tudu W/o Shri R.R. Tudu Aged About 48 Years D/o Harry Anthony R/o Village Jyotipur, Tahsil Pendraroad, Civil And Revenue Distt. Bilaspur C.G. Presently Working As Assistant Teacher, Primary School, Mangli Bazar, Block Gaurela, P.S. Gaurela, Civil And Revenue Distt. Bilaspur C.G., Chhattisgarh

... **Petitioner**

versus

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Department Of Tribal Welfare, Mahanadi Bhawan, Naya Raipur, Distt. Raipur, Chhattisgarh.

2 - State Level Committee For Scrutiny Of Caste Cert. Through Its Secretary, Pt. Deendayal Upadhyay Nagar, Sector-4, Raipur, Distt. Raipur C.G.

3 - State Level Committee For Scrutiny Of Caste Cert., Through Its Chairman, Pt. Deendayal Upadhyay Nagar, Sector-4, Raipur, Distt. Raipur C.G.

4 - The Collector Bilaspur, Distt. Bilaspur C.G.

... **Respondents**

For Petitioner : None

For Res./State : Ms. Isha Jajodia, Panel Lawyer

Hon'ble Shri Amitendra Kishore Prasad, Judge

Order on Board

20.11.2024

The present writ petition has been filed by the petitioner with the following prayers:

"10.1. The Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to set-aside/quash the impugned order dated 21.01.2014"



(Annexure P-1), Passed by respondent No. 2.

10.2 The Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to grant any other ancillary relief(s), as it may deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case.

10.3 Cost of the petition."

2. In spite of regular call since Monday no one appears even in the third round when the matter is being called nor any representation is made on behalf of the petitioner to press this petition
3. On the previous dates of hearing i.e. 20.08.2024, 30.08.2024, 06.09.2024 and 18.10.2024 the matter was listed before this Court but for one or another reason, the case was adjourned. Lastly on 18.10.2024, the counsel for the petitioner was directed to file suitable affidavit indicating original place of residence of ancestors of the petitioner but no representation is made on behalf of the petitioner.
4. In the aforesaid facts, there is no other option left but to dismiss the petition for want of prosecution.
5. Accordingly, the instant writ petition is **dismissed** for **want of prosecution**.
6. Interim order, if any, stands vacated.

Sd/-

(Amitendra Kishore Prasad)
Judge