

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**Second Appeal No. 331 of 2003**

1. Khemraj (died) through LRs.
 - (A) Lav Kumar, S/o Late Khemraj, aged about 22 years.
 - (B) Kush Kumar, S/o Late Khemraj, aged about 20 years.

Both R/o Village – Hasod, Post-Salar, Tahsil-Sarangarh, Distt. Raigarh (C.G.)
2. Saroj Bai, W/o Khemraj, Caste Aghariya, Aged about 23 years, Resident of Vill. Hasod, Post-Salar, Tah. Sarangarh, Distt. Raigarh (C.G.)
3. Naresh Kumar (died) through LRs.
 - (A) Muneshwari, W/o Late Naresh Kumar Aghariya, aged about 32 years.
 - (B) Lokesh Kumar, S/o Late Naresh Kumar Aghariya, aged about 10 years.
 - (C) Ku. Kusum, D/o Late Naresh Kumar Aghariya, aged about 7 years.

All three R/o village- Tilaipali, Tahsil-Sarangarh, District Raigarh (C.G.)
4. Lalit Ram, S/o Shyam Sunder Gond, Aged about 21 years.
5. Kamal Kumar, S/o Chaitan Prasad Aghariya, Aged about 18 years, (Appellants No. 3 to 5 resident of Vill. Tilaipali, P.H. No. 19, Tah. Sarangarh, Distt. Raigarh (C.G.)

----Appellants.**Versus**

1. Roop Ram, S/o Sewakram, Caste Aghariya, aged about 55 years, Occupation Agriculturist, R/o Tilaipali, P.H. No.19, Tah. Sarangarh, Distt. Raigarh (C.G.)
2. Dalganjan, S/o Anant Singh Aghariya, aged about 40 years, R/o Padampur, Tah. & Dist. Bargarh, Orissa
3. Minor Narsingh, aged about 15 years, S/o Dalganjan Aghariya
4. Minor Yugesh Kumar, aged about 11 years, S/o Dalganjan Aghariya, (respondents No. 3 & 4 represented by Dalganjan Aghariya, S/o Anant Singh Aghariya)
5. Uderam, S/o Shyam Sunder Gond, Aged about 23 years, R/o Gram Tilaipali, Tah. Sarangarh, Distt. Raigarh (C.G.)
6. Minor Hetram, aged about 17 years, S/o Shyam Sunder Gond represented through Shyam Sunder Gond, S/o Kunwar Singh Gond, R/o Gram Tilaipali, Tah. Sarangarh, Distt. Raigarh (C.G.)
7. State of Chhattisgarh, through Collector, Raigarh, Distt. Raigarh (C.G.)

---- Respondents.

For Appellants : None
For State : Shri Aditya Sharma, Panel Lawyer.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay K. Agrawal

Order On Board

12/09/2018

(1) Even after the matter was called out for hearing in the second round, none appeared on behalf of the appellants, therefore, in these circumstances, this court has no other option but to dismiss the second appeal for want of prosecution.

(2) Accordingly, the second appeal is dismissed for want of prosecution.

Sd/-

(Sanjay K. Agrawal)
Judge

D/-

