



HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPS No. 9642 of 2023

DEOVRAT KUMAR SAO **versus** STATE OF CHHATTISGARH

WPS/6169/2024,WPS/5229/2024,WPS/5234/2024,WPS/2772/2024,WPS/2656/2024,WPS/2788/2024,WPS/2774/2024,WPS/4130/2024,WPS/164/2024,WPS/231/2024

Order Sheet

07/01/2026	Mr. Sandeep Dubey, Mr. Alok Chandra Rishi and Manas Vajpai, counsel for the petitioners. Mr. Sabyasachi Choubey, Panel Lawyer for the State. From the records, it is quite vivid that initially the petitioners were appointed as Forester in the year 1971-79 and they were notionally promoted as Deputy Ranger in pursuance of the order dated 7-3-2018 passed by this Court in WPS No. 4765 of 2005 and thereafter they have been promoted as Ranger in the year 1996 and thereafter no promotion was granted to them, therefore, they raised their grievance with regard to time bound pay scale as per the policy framed by the State vide Annexure P./4 dated 28-4-2008 which provides that the benefit of the scheme will be applicable to the Government servants who have already been promoted once, twice or more than twice, still they are entitled to get the benefit of Time Scale Pay Scheme

Raju	2006 dated dated 28-4-2008 and Clause -V of the said policy provides that the benefit of the scheme will be applicable from 1-4-2006. Learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that even after holding the post of Ranger, no promotion has been granted to the petitioners, still they are eligible to be considered for promotion on the post of Assistant Conservator of Forest, as such their claim for grant of Time Pay Scale is surviving even after retirement. Let the State re-examine the matter keeping in view the policy dated 28-4-2008 and examine the case of the petitioners with regard to their eligibility criteria, ACR and other required conditions which are necessary for grant of time pay scale and file an affidavit before this court on the next date of hearing. It is made clear that though the claim of the petitioners has already been rejected on 21-8-2023 vide Annexure P/1 on the basis of Annexure P/2, this will not come in the way of rider of respondents to re-examine the case of the petitioners as from perusal of the order prima facie, it reflects that they have not examined the scheme of time pay scale dated 28-4-2008 in its letter and spirit. List this case on 18-2-2026. Sd/- (Narendra Kumar Vyas) JUDGE
------	---

RAVVA
SATYANARAYANA
RAJU

Digitally signed by
RAVVA
SATYANARAYANA RAJU
Date: 2026.01.07
18:05:09 +0530