



2026:CGHC:10433



2026:CGHC:10433

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPC No. 34 of 2013

- 1 - Smt.Parvati Rao And Ors. W/o Dyroyodhan Rao Aged About 35 Years Bhanpuri Raipur Ps. Khamtarai, Raipur, Tah. And Dist. Raipur C.G., Chhattisgarh.
- 2 - Smt. Rita M. Jethani W/o Manohar Jethani Aged About 38 Years Byside Of Hotel Kohinoor, Amardeep Talkies Road, Banstall, Raipur Po- Gpo, Ps. Golebazar,tah. And Dist. Raipur C.G., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
- 3 - Smt. Asha Fade W/o Anant Fade Aged About 42 Years H.No. 3/c Avnue-B, Sector-7 Bhilai Nagar, Po-Sector-7, Bhiali, Ps. Sector-6, Bhilai Tah. And Dist. Durg C.G.
- 4 - Smt. Neelima Fotani W/o Naresh Fotani Aged About 25 Years Shivam Medicos, Jagmal Chowk Marg Bilaspur Po And Ps. Torwa Bilaspur Tah. And Dist. Bilaspur Chhattisgarh.
- 5 - Ms. Nikita Lalchandani D/o Lt. Kishan Chand Lalchandani Aged About 20 Years Shivam Medicos, Jagmal Chowk Marg, Po And Ps. Torwa Bilaspur, Tah. And Dist. Bilaspur Chhattisgarh.
- 6 - Sushri Rukmani Mankani D/o Lt. Koddamal Mankani Aged About 50 Years Rukky Ladies Tailor, Lakhe Nagar, Raipur District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh.

... Petitioners

versus

- 1 - State Of Chhattisgarh And Anr. S/o Thru Its Secretary., Town Admin. And Devel. Deptt. Raipur P.O. And Ps. Mana, Tah. And Dist. Raipur Chhattisgarh.
- 2 - The Municipal Council Bhilai-3, Chroda Thru The Chief Municipal Officer,



Municipal Council Bhilai-3, Charoda, Po And Ps. Bhilai-3, Tah. Patan, Dist.
Durg District : Durg, Chhattisgarh.

... Respondents

For Petitioners	:	None
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Rohitashva Singh, Deputy Government Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sachin Singh Rajput

Order On Board

27/02/2026

1. On various dates, including 08.12.2025, 12.01.2026, and 13.01.2026, when this matter was listed for hearing, neither the petitioners nor any representation was made on behalf of the petitioners. Consequently, on 23.02.2026, this Court adjourned the matter for today with a direction that, in the event of no representation on the next date, an appropriate order would be passed. Today, the matter has been called twice, but again, no one has appeared. Therefore, this Court is left with no other option, but to dismiss the petition for want of prosecution.

2. Consequently, this petition is dismissed for want of prosecution.

Sd/-
(Sachin Singh Rajput)
Judge

Ami