



2019:CGHC:2932  
NAFR

**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**

**FA No. 84 of 2006**

1. Smt. Tijmati, Wd/o Ramadhar
2. Shivcharan, aged about 17 years.
3. Rajendra, aged about 15 years
4. Narendra, aged about 13 years
5. Brihaspati Bai, D/o Ramadhar, aged about 10 years

No.2 to 5 minor through natural guardian mother Smt. Tijmati, W/o Ramadhar.

All Cultivators, R/o village Pali, Tahsil Pali, District Korba (CG)

6. Ramcharan, aged about 24 years
  7. Pran Nath, aged about 29 years.
  8. Son Kunwar, widow of Chintaram
- All residents of village Pali, Tahsil Pali, District Bilaspur, at present District Korba (CG)
9. Munna aged about 35 years, S/o Satelram Marar, R/o Bandhakhaar, Tah. Pali, District Korba.
  10. Santosh, aged about 20 years, S/o Satelram Marar, R/o Village Pali, Tah. Pali, District Bilaspur (CG).
  11. Gulab Singh Patel, aged about 45 years, S/o Budhram Marar.
  12. Kishan Singh, aged about 25 years, S/o Gulab Singh Patel.
  13. Satish, aged about 20 years, S/o Gulab Singh Patel.
  14. Sakeena, aged about 22 years, D/o Gulab Singh.

No.11 to 15 are residents of village Donasagar, Tah. Ratanpur, Dist. Bilaspur (CG)

15. Smt. Rambati, D/o Chintaram Marar, wife of Murari Marar, R/o Binjhara, Tah. Katghora, Dist. Korba (CG)

**---- Appellants**

**Versus**

1. State Of MP, now State of CG, through the Collector, Korba, Tah. and Dist. Korba.



2019:CGHC:2932

2. Additional Tahsildar, Pali- Shri AK Agrawal, Pali, Tah. Pali, Dist. Korba
3. Umshankar Jaiswal, aged about 32 year, S/o Shri Ram Pratap Jaiswal, Businessman.
4. Reshram Patel, aged about 25 years, S/o Budhram Patel, Cultivator.
5. Smt. Radhabai, aged about 35 years, wife of Kamal Ram Patel, Cultivator.
6. Krishna Kumar, aged about 28 years, S/o Budhram Patel, Cultivator.

**---- Respondents**

---

|                           |   |                                |
|---------------------------|---|--------------------------------|
| For Appellants            | : | None                           |
| For Respondent No.1       | : | Shri Rahul Jha, Govt. Advocate |
| For Respondents No.2 to 6 | : | None.                          |

---

**Order On Board By Hon'ble Shri Justice Parth Prateem Sahu**

**24/01/2019**

1. Since there is no representation on behalf of the appellants even in the second round, this Court is left with no other option but to dismiss this appeal for want of prosecution.
2. Accordingly, the appeal is dismissed for want of prosecution.

Sd/-  
(Parth Prateem Sahu)  
Judge

roshan/-