

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.67 of 2026

In
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.299 of 2025

=====

Raj Kishor Singh son of Late Sahdu Yadav, Resident of village Mathiypur,
Dhera, P.O. Kaithi, P.S- Bagen Gola, District Buxar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Collector, Buxar, namely Anshul Agrawal
namely Anshul Agrawal.
2. The Collector-cum-District Magistrate, District Buxar, namely Anshul
Agrawal.
3. The Addl. Collector, District- Buxar, namely Girijesh Kumar.
4. The Sub-Divisional Magistrate, Dumraon, Buxar, namely Rajesh Kumar.
5. The Circle Officer, Anchal-Brahampur, District-Buxar, namely Khushboo
Khatoon.
6. The Circle Inspector, Anchal- Brahampur, District- Buxar, namely Mustaque
Ahmad.
7. The Halka Karamchari, Anchal- Brahampur, District Buxar.
8. The Anchal Amin, Anchal-Brahampur, District- Buxar, namely Raj Kumar
Pandey.
9. Kamal Yadav, s/o- Sitaram Yadav, R/O-village- kaithi, Mathiyapur, P.S.
Bagen Gola, District- Buxar.
10. Subhash Singh Yadav, S/o- Sitaram Yadav, R/o- village kaithi, mathiyapur,
P.S.-Bagen Gola, district- Buxar.
11. Ram Nath Singh, S/o- late Baleshwar Yadav Singh, R/o-village- kaithi,
Mathiyapur, P.S. Bagen Gola, District-Buxar.
12. Lalji Yadav, S/o- late Ramayan Yadav, R/o- village Kaithi, Mathiyapur, P.S.
Bagen Gola District- Buxar.
13. Ram Kishun Yadav s/o- late Ram Naresh Yadav, R/o- village- kaithi,
Mathiyapur, P.S.-Bagen Gola, District-Buxar.
14. Hiralal Ydav S/o Late-Shriram Yadav, R/o- village Kaithi, Mathiyapur, P.S.-
Bagen Gola, P.S. Bagen Gola, District Buxar.
15. Kunj Bihari, S/o late Hiranman Yadav, R/o- village Kaithi, Mathiyapur, P.S.-
Bagen Gola, District Buxar.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s :	Mr.Nafisuzzoha, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s :	Mr. Manoj Kr. Ambastha, SC-26
	Mr. Santosh Kr. Mishra, AC to SC-26

=====



CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

- 2 19-06-2026 At the outset, the Ld. Counsel for the petitioner seeks to correct the provision of law mentioned in the present petition during the course of the day. Permission, so sought, is granted.
2. Let the provision of law mentioned in the present petition be corrected during the course of the day.
3. The present petition has been filed for restoration of a petition bearing M.J.C. No. 299 of 2025, which has stood dismissed for default on account of non-compliance of the peremptory order dated 16.05.2025.
4. The Ld. Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that on account of mistake on the part of the Advocate's Clerk, defects could not be removed in time, hence the aforesaid petition was dismissed for default on account of non-compliance of the peremptory order dated 16.05.2025.
5. Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, considering the submissions made by the Ld. Counsel for the petitioner as also considering the averments made in the present petition, I deem it fit and appropriate to direct for restoration of the aforesaid petition bearing M.J.C. No. 299 of 2025 to its original file, subject to removal of the defects pointed out by registry, within a period of four weeks from today.



6. The present petition stands disposed off on the aforesaid terms.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

S.Sb/-

U			
---	--	--	--

