



2026:PATHC:47064

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.3874 of 2025

In

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.18323 of 2024

Manish Kumar Chaudhary S/o Girijanandan Chaudhary, at Vill and P.O.-
Parsawa Khurd, P.S.-Raushan Ganj, District-Gaya, Pincode-824217.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Principal Secretary Revenue Department Govt. of Bihar Patna namely Jai Singh IAS.
2. The Commissioner of Gaya namely Dr. Thiyagarajan S M IAS Gaya.
3. The District Magistrate Aurangabad Bihar namely Shrikant Shastri, Aurangabad.
4. The Deputy Collector Land Reforms Aurangabad Bihar namely Swetank Kumar, Aurangabad.
5. The Circel Officer Anchal-Rafiganj, District-Aurangabad, Bihar namely Bhartendu.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Surendra Pd. Singh, Advocate Mr. Dhananjay Mishra, Advocate Mr. Nitesh Kr. Nirala, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Gyan Prakash Ojha, GA-7

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

5 17-04-2026 Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned counsel for the State.

2. The present contempt petition has been filed by the
petitioner against respondent no. 5 for wilfully disobeying the
order dated 05.12.2024 passed by this Court in C.W.J.C. No.
18323 of 2024.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that this
court while disposing C.W.J.C. No. 18323 of 2024 on





05.12.2024 granted liberty to petitioner to raise his grievance before the respondent no. 5/ Circle Officer, Anchal Rafiganj, District Aurangabad, Bihar within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of this order and if petitioner raises his grievance before the concerned authority by filing representation within the stipulate period, the concerned authority shall pass appropriate order on the representation of the petitioner in the light of the statutory provision after giving due opportunity of hearing to the petitioner. He further submits that petitioner has given representation (Annexure P/1 and P/2) before the respondent no. 5. He further submits that the order of this Court has been complied by mutating one khata no. 109, plot no. 1106, rakba 20 decimal and rent receipt has been given but khata no. 119, plot no. 1105 and rakha 5 decimal has not been mutated as yet.

4. Learned counsel for the State has filed show cause and it has been submitted in para 6 that in compliance of the order of this Court, a mutation proceeding bearing Mutation Case No. 1452 of 2025-26 was initiated before the Circle Officer, Rafiganj and sufficient opportunity was given to file all relevant and supporting documents with regard to the land in question and the proceeding was conducted and order was





passed on 31.08.2025 by the Circle Officer, Rafiganj (Annexure R/01). It has also been submitted in para 8 of the said show cause that an efficacious alternative remedy is available to the petitioner by way of filing an appeal before the Deputy Collector, Land Reforms, Aurangabad under the provisions of Bihar Land Mutation Act, 2011, if petitioner is aggrieved by the order of the Circle Officer, Rafiganj.

5. The scope of contempt petition is limited only to the extent whether the concerned respondent has complied the directions of this Court to the extent of considering the petitioner's representation or not?

6. In view of the submission made by the learned counsel for the State the order of this court has already been complied which is also evident from Annexure R/01.

7. Accordingly, the present contempt petition stands disposed of. If petitioner has still any grievance with regard to the land in question, he may raise his grievance before the appropriate forum.

(Alok Kumar Pandey, J)

alok/-

U			
---	--	--	--

