



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.18960 of 2018

Ashok Kumar Verma Son of Sri Ish Chandra Verma, Resident of Village-
Bhagwanpur, Anchal and P.S.- Bhagwanpur, District- Kaimur Bhabhuwa.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar.
2. The Executive Engineer, Road Construction Department, Road Division,
Bhabhua, Kaimur.
3. The Assistant Engineer, Road Construction Department, Road Division,
Bhabhua, Kaimur.
4. Assistant Engineer, PWD, Kaimur at Bhabhua.
5. The Collector, Kaimur.
6. The District Land Acquisition Officer, Kaimur, Bhabhua.
7. Circle Officer Bhagwanpur, P.S.- Bhagwanpur, District- Kaimur at Bhabhua.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Jai Vardhan Narayan
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Dhurhati Kr. Prasad, GP-14

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 25-11-2025 Heard the parties.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the
following relief(s):

*“(i) Issuance of directions, orders or
writs in the nature of mandamus directing the
respondents not to disturb the petitioner from his
peaceful life who is a retired person whose raiyati
land have already been acquired by the State
Government by a land acquisition proceeding and
after conclusion of the proceeding, the petitioner*





had filed an application u/s 18 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 for reference before the Learned Court of Sub-Judge III, Bhabhua, Kaimur for enhancement of his land acquisition amount in Land Acquisition Case No. 70/2011, Ashok Kumar Verma Petitioner v/s State of Bihar Respondent and the said proceeding was finally decided and concluded on 3rd June, 2016 and 18th June, 2016 by passing judgment and decree in the aforesaid case, but the respondents, in retaliation without any rhyme and reason against the petitioner, had measured the raiyati land of the petitioner including his dwelling house falsely saying that it is encroachment on the land acquired by the government as the petitioner has filed execution case bearing Ex. Case No. 16 of 2016. The measurement was made on 10.06.2018 and 08.07.2018 without any notice to the petitioner forcibly just to malign the petitioner for his no fault;

(ii) Issuance of direction, order or writ in the nature of mandamus to safeguard the petitioner





for forcibly putting the petitioner into trials and tribulations and to violate the dignity of the petitioner which is not permitted in the eyes of law;

(iii) Any other relief/ reliefs for which the petitioner may be deemed entitled to.”

3. Mr. Sarvendra Kumar Verma, learned counsel for the petitioner prays for withdrawal of the petition.

4. Permission accorded.

5. Accordingly, the writ petition stands dismissed as withdrawn.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Adnan/-

U			
---	--	--	--

