



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.18836 of 2025

1. Satya Narayan Roy, Son of Late Ram Swarup Ray, Resident of Village P.O.- Parsauna, Police Station-Parsa, District- Saran, -841219 (Bihar)
2. Lallan Yadav, Son of Rajpati Yadav, Resident of Village- Laxmipur, Post- Jagdishpur, Block Vijaipur, District- Gopalganj (Bihar)-841508.
3. Ghanshyam Tiwari, Son of Ram Barai Tiwari, Resident of Village- Dharam Khore Babu (Tiwari Tola) Post-Bhanti, Police Station-Bhatni, Block-Bhatni, District- Deoria (Uttar Pradesh)-274705.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Additional Chief Secretary, Department of Education, Government of Bihar, New Secretariat, Patna
2. The Additional Chief Secretary, Department of Education, Government of Bihar, New Secretariat, Patna.
3. The Director, (Secondary Education) Government of Bihar, New Secretariat, Patna
4. The Deputy Director (Secondary Education), Government of Bihar, New Secretariat, Patna
5. The Regional Deputy Director of Education, Saran at Chapra.
6. The District Education Officer, Saran (Chapra)
7. The District Education Officer, Gopalganj.
8. The District Programme Officer (Establishment), Saran at Chapra
9. The District Programme Officer (Establishment) Gopalganj (Bihar)
10. The Headmistress, Jhuna Devi Project Girl's High School, Parsauna, Parsa Saran (Chapra)
11. The Headmistress Raja Singh Project Girls High School Navatan Bijaipur, Gopalganj (Bihar)

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Satish Chandra Mishra, Adv.
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Standing Counsel (16)

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR

ORAL ORDER

2 24-11-2025

Heard the parties.

2. The petitioners have approached this Court seeking
a direction upon the concerned respondents to approve their
services on notional basis with effect from the actual date of





their appointment or from the date of selection of their respective schools by the State Government, whichever is later.

3. It is submitted that as many as 68 similarly situated teachers/ lady teachers have been extended the benefit of 3rd M.A.C.P. after approval of their services with effect from their respective date of appointment/ training, but, discrimination has been caused to the petitioner. Hence, the present writ petition.

4. Referring to an order passed by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court in C.W.J.C. No. 11893 of 2023, it is submitted that identically situated persons have approached this Court, wherein, they have been extended liberty to approach before the Director, Secondary Education, annexing all the supporting documents and further directed the concerned authorities to consider their claim and dispose off the same. It is thus, submitted that if the case of the petitioner be also disposed off in identical terms, they shall also approach the authorities concerned for redressal of their grievance.

5. Learned Advocate for the State sought a short adjournment to get instruction and file counter affidavit, however, he further submits that if the petitioner is willing to approach before the authorities concerned, he has no objection.

6. Having considered the submissions advanced by





learned Advocate for the respective parties and taking note of the specific submission that other identically situated persons have been extended approval of their services with effect from their respective date of appointment/ training and accordingly, extended the benefit of 3rd M.A.C.P., this Court thinks it apt and proper to dispose off the writ petition by granting liberty to the petitioners to approach before the respondent no. 3 by filing a detailed representation alongwith the supporting documents, as also the name of the persons with whom they are seeking parity, preferably within a period of four weeks' from today.

7. In case, such a representation is filed, the concerned authorities shall consider the same and disposed off by reasoned and speaking order, preferably within a period of twelve weeks thereafter.

8. In case the claim of the petitioner finds favour, necessary consequential order shall be passed.

9. The writ petition stands disposed off.

(Harish Kumar, J)

supratim/-

U			
---	--	--	--

