



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.18809 of 2025

Wokil Prasad Yadav son of Saryug Prasad Yadav, Resident of village Baluachak, P.S.-Jagdishpur District Bhagalpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Collector, Bhagalpur.
2. The Collector, Bhagalpur.
3. The Sub Divisional Officer, Sadar, Bhagalpur, District-Bhagalpur.
4. The Anchal Adhikari, Jagdishpur, District-Bhagalpur.
5. The Station House Officer, P.S.- Jagdishpur, District- Bhagalpur.
6. Rambaran Yadav, Son of Late Saryug Yadav, resident of Village Baluachak, P.S. and P.O.-Baluachak, District-Bhagalpur.
7. Parmanand Mandal, son of Late Deonarayan Mandal, resident of Village Baluachak, P.S. and P.O.-Baluachak, District-Bhagalpur.
8. Kalicharan Mandal, son of Late Deonarayan Mandal, resident of Village Baluachak, P.S. and P.O.-Baluachak, District-Bhagalpur.
9. Munilal Mandal, son of Late Deonarayan Mandal, resident of Village Baluachak, P.S. and P.O.-Baluachak, District-Bhagalpur.
10. Dadanand Mandal, son of Late Deonarayan Mandal, resident of Village Baluachak, P.S. and P.O.-Baluachak, District-Bhagalpur.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Mritunjay Prasad Singh, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Rajesh Kumar, AC to GP-3

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 24-11-2025 Heard the parties.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the following relief(s):

“(i) For issuance of writ of Mandamus commanding the respondent no. 3 to consider the application filed by the petitioner on dated 07.08.2025 and to depute and provide the Police Force to the Anchal Adhikari, Jagdishpur and





S.H.O. Jagdishpur P.S. to remove the encroachment in compliance of the order dated 18.01.2018 passed by the Commissioner, Bhagalpur Division, Bhagalpur in BLDR Case No.56/2015-16.

(ii) For issuance of writ of Mandamus commanding the respondent no. 4 and 5 to take appropriate legal action to remove the encroachment from the purchased land of petitioner bearing plot no. 3716 encroached by the private respondents.

(iii) For issuance of such other appropriate writ/order/direction which may deem fit and proper for the ends of justice.”

3. After some arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he shall be taking up the matter before the appropriate authority/Court as the concerned Revenue Officer is not even taking note of the order passed by the Commissioner.

4. Allowing him to take up the matter before appropriate authority/Court, the writ petition stands disposed of.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Adnan/-

U			
---	--	--	--

