



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.18167 of 2025

Shailendra Kumar Son of Uttam Paswan, Resident of Village- Ranitol, P.S.-
Karpurigram, District- Samastipur (Bihar).

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the District Magistrate, Samastipur.
2. The Block Development Officer, Samastipur.
3. Sajan Kumar, Son of Rambabu Sahni, Resident of Village- Dariyapur
Vishanpur, P.S.- Samastipur (Muffasil), District- Samastipur (Bihar).

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Om Prakash Upadhyay, Advocate
For the Respondents : Standing Counsel-16

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY
ORAL ORDER

2 08-12-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the respondents.

2. The petitioner has filed the instant application for
the following relief :-

*“That this writ application is for a
direction upon the respondent authorities to
consider the continuance of the service of the
petitioner for rendering service as Sweeper, who
was engaged for the said work vide Memo
No.3327 dated 26.10.2016 in the office of Block
Development Officer, Samastipur for cleaning
the rooms within the campus of Block-Cum-
Circle office as Daily Wage employee, but, he
has been arbitrarily and illegally disengaged by
oral order of Block Development Officer,
Samastipur, which is unjust, improper and as*





such required to be set at rest as the decision of disengagement is highly incompetent, inhuman as has been done in respect of petitioner, who has rendered his service for nine years since 24.10.2016 till 31.07.2025, hence the instant writ petition is for a direction upon the respondent authorities to consider the representation of the petitioner in order to impart justice with the petitioner by reinstating/accommodating him.

And for such other order/orders as your Lordships may deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case.”

3. It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that a representation was filed by the petitioner before the District Magistrate, Samastipur, however the same not having been considered the instant application has been filed. It is prayed that the respondent concerned may be directed to consider the representation of the petitioner compassionately.

4. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having perused the contents of the petition, the writ application is disposed of directing the petitioner to file a representation before the District Magistrate, Samastipur, who shall consider and dispose of the same at the earliest preferably within a period of two months from date of its filing.

5. It is made clear that the Court has not gone into the





merits of the case of the petitioner which is to be decided by the respondent concerned.

6. The writ application stands disposed of with the above observations and directions.

(Partha Sarthy, J)

avinash/-

U			
---	--	--	--

