



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.18805 of 2025

-
1. Mahesh Sharma Son of Late Kanai Singh Resident of Village- Samochak, P.S.- Makhdumpur, District- Jehanabad.
 2. Arun Sharma, Son of Late Baikunth Sharma @ Baikunth Singh Resident of Village- Samochak, P.S.- Makhdumpur, District- Jehanabad.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Principal Secretary, Revenue and Land Reforms Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The District Magistrate, Jehanabad.
3. The Deputy Collector, Land Reforms, Jehanabad.
4. The Circle Officer, Makhdumpur, Jehanabad.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Birendra Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Standing Counsel (25)

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 24-11-2025 Heard the parties.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the following relief(s):

“ (i) for issuance of an appropriate Writ/writs, order/orders, direction/directions upon the respondents in the nature of Mandamus especially respondent no. 4 to not disturb /Stop the petitioners for doing work of development on his land situated at Mauza Solhanda Thana no. 242 Khata no. 209 Plot no. 2617 area 0. 13 dec. Anchal Makhdumpur District Jehanabad especially when





the above noted land of petitioners has been demarcated by the Anchal Amin by order of Circle Officer, Makhdumpur in compliance of order dated 23.7. 2024 passed by learned Deputy Collector land Reforms, Jehanabad in Bihar Land Dispute Redressal Case no. 28/2023-24.

(ii) To direct the respondents to not take any coercive action against the petitioners if the petitioners doing the development work on their land which has already been demarcated by the competent authority and as yet no claim has been made about the demarcation.

(iii) To grant any other relief/ reliefs to the petitioners under the facts and circumstances of the case as well as in law.”

3. At the outset, learned State counsel pointed out that the Meena Devi against whom the petitioner has problem has not been made party-respondent in the writ petition.

4. At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that liberty be granted to him to approach the appropriate authority/Court for the redressal of the grievance.

5. Granting liberty to the petitioner to approach the





appropriate forum/Court for the redressal of the grievance and if any such petition is pending, pursue the said matter and take the same to its logical conclusion, the writ petition stands disposed of.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Adnan/-

U			
---	--	--	--

