



2025:PATHC:85139

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.2595 of 2022

In

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.14497 of 2009

Dr. Bindeshwari Singh Son of Late Ramjanan Singh, R/o Village- Bishunpur Jagdish, P.S. Marhourah, District- Saran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Union of India through the Secretary Ministry of Agriculture Mukesh Kumar, New Delhi.
2. The State of Bihar, through its Sri Sanjay Kumar Chief Secretary Old Secretariat, Patna.
3. The Secretary, Sri Narmdeshwar Lal, Department of Animal Husbandary and fishry Vikash Bhawan, Bhawan-15.
4. The Director, Vinod Kumar Kunjiyar, Department of Animal Husbandary and Fishry Vikash Bhawan, Bhawan-15.
5. The Under Secretary, Dr. Ramakant Thakur Entitelite Cell, Finance Department, Govt. of Bihar Patna.
6. The Accountant General, Sri Rameshwar Lal, Patna.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Uday Prasad Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Additional Solicitor General
For the AG, Bihar : Mr. Rajan Prakash, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 19-09-2025

Heard the parties.

2. In compliance with the order of this Court dated 18.04.2018, passed in C.W.J.C. No. 14497 of 2009, a show-cause affidavit has been filed on behalf of opposite party nos. 3 and 4, as also on behalf of opposite party no.6.

3. Learned Advocate for the petitioner, referring to the order under contempt, has submitted that though the Court has taken note of the fact that the petitioner has been extended the





benefit of ACP and, as such, Time Bound Promotion and its consequential benefit is not applicable in the case of the petitioner, but actual monetary benefit has not been given.

4. The aforesaid fact has been refuted by the learned Advocate for the State by referring to para.17 of the show-cause affidavit filed on behalf of opposite party nos. 3 and 4, which clearly states that the petitioner has already been extended the Assured Career Progression Scheme. He further submits that the present contempt petition is grossly barred by delay, as the order under contempt came to be passed on 18.04.2018 and the present contempt petition has been filed on 16.12.2022.

5. This Court finds substance in the submission advanced by the learned Advocate for the State in view of Section 20 of the Contempt of Court's Act, 1971; as also the averments made in the show-cause affidavit.

6. Accordingly, this Court does not find any reason to continue with the contempt proceeding; the present contempt petition stands closed.

(Harish Kumar, J)

uday/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	23.09.2025
Transmission Date	NA

