



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.16996 of 2025

=====

Jitendra Sinha S/o Late Raghunath Singh, R/o village- Talkhapur, ward no.- 09, P.S. Dumra, Dist.- Sitamarhi.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Additional Chief Secretary, Department of the Animal Husbandry, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director, Department of the Animal Husbandry, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Regional Director, Animal Husbandry North Circle, Muzaffarpur.
4. The Joint Director (Animal Health), Government of Bihar, Patna.
5. The District Animal Husbandry Officer, Sheohar.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Santosh Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Government Pleader 7

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY
ORAL ORDER

2 26-11-2025 Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. The petitioner has filed the instant application for the following relief:

“That, this writ application is filed with prayer for issuance of the writ of 'Mandamus' or 'any appropriate writ'/ direction/ directions/ order/ orders commanding the respondents to provide the benefit of the 1st ACP, 2nd ACP as well as 3rd ACP/MACP (Modified Assured Career Progression) to the petitioner, which petitioner has got Superannuation (retirement) on 10-11-2021 from the





service of Clerk from the Office of the District Animal Husbandry Officer, Sheohar.”

3. The case of the petitioner in brief is that the petitioner having retired from the Animal Husbandry Department, Bihar, Patna on 10.11.2021, he has not been paid the arrears of amount under the head of ACP and MACP which became due on 30.4.2000, 1.1.2009 and 30.4.2018 respectively. He has neither received the amount nor any response to the representation filed. As such, the instant writ application.

4. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having perused the contents of the petition, the writ application is disposed off directing the petitioner to file a representation before the Regional Director, Animal Husbandry, North Circle, Muzaffarpur (respondent no. 3) for the grievances raised herein.

5. In case such a representation is filed, the respondent no.3 shall decide the same and pay the admissible amount within a period of two months from the date of filing of the representation.

6. In case the amount prayed for is not found payable, reasoned order for the same shall be communicated to the petitioner within the aforesaid period.

7. It is made clear that the Court has not gone into the





merits of the case of the petitioner which is to be decided by the respondent concerned.

8. The application stands disposed off with the above observations and direction.

(Partha Sarthy, J)

saurovkrishna/-

U			
---	--	--	--

