



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.16791 of 2025

Dilip Kumar Sharma S/o- Yogendra Sharma R/o- Mohalla- R.K. Puram Colony, Phase- II, Khagaul Road, P.S.- Danapur, District- Patna, at present posted as Armourer Havildar- 12, Central Arms Workshop-cum-Training Centre, Bihar, Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Director General of Police, Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director General of Police, Bihar, Patna.
3. The Inspector General of Police (Budget, Appeal, Welfare), Bihar, Patna.
4. The Additional Director General of Police, HQ, Patna.
5. The Deputy Director General of Police (Personnel), Bihar, Patna.
6. The Incharge Police Inspector (Armourer) Central Arms Workshop-cum-Training Centre, Bihar, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Mukesh Kumar Thakur, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Standing Counsel 07

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY
ORAL ORDER

2 25-11-2025

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. The petitioner has filed the instant application for
the following reliefs:

*“i. For setting aside the memo no.-
9156/CWS dated 28.07.2017 issued under the
signature of the Respondent No.-3 whereby
and where under the original final gradation
list published under memo no.-4694/CWS
dated 15.10.2015 has been modified
unilaterally without giving any notice to the
affected persons including the petitioner and
fresh modified gradation list of Armourer*





Constable was published.

ii. For commanding and directing the respondent authorities to restore the original gradation list of Armourer Constable published under memo no.-4694/CWS dated 15.10.2015 and restore the gradation of the petitioner at Sl. No.-8, post no.-739.

iii. For direction upon the respondent authorities particularly the Respondent No.-2 consider the representation of the petitioner dated 12.08.2025 which has been duly forwarded by the ADG, HQ, Patna vide C.No.-4461436/25 and 4463923/25 dated 12.08.2025 and any process for promotion may be directed to be initiated only after consideration of representation of the petitioner by a reasoned and speaking order within a stipulated time.

iv. For any other relief/reliefs to which the petitioner may be found entitled to in the facts and circumstances of the case.”

3. At the outset, it is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that this application be disposed off with a direction to the respondent no. 5 Deputy Inspector General of Police (Personnel), Bihar, Patna (wrongly described therein as the Deputy Director General of Police) to dispose off the representation filed by the petitioner which is still pending





before the respondent concerned.

4. Let the description of respondent no. 5 be read as the Deputy Inspector General of Police (Personnel), Bihar, Patna.

5. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having gone through the contents of the petition, the instant application is disposed off directing the petitioner to file a representation before the Deputy Inspector General of Police (Personnel), Bihar, Patna within a period of four weeks.

6. In case such a representation is filed, the DIG (Personnel) shall decide the same within a period of four weeks and communicate the result thereof by a reasoned order to the petitioner within a period of three months from the date of its filing.

7. It is made clear that the Court has not gone into the merits of the case of the petitioner which is to be decided by the DIG (Personnel), Bihar, Patna.

8. The writ application stands disposed off with the above observations and directions.

(Partha Sarthy, J)

saurovkrishna/-

U			
---	--	--	--

