



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.3049 of 2023

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.22813 of 2019

=====

M/s Star Construction Balua Tal Motihari, District- East Champaran, through its Proprietor Parwez Ahmad Khan, aged about 51 years, Male, Son of Haseen Ahmad Khan, Resident of - Balua Tal Motihari, P.O.- Motihari, P.S.- Motihari Town, District- East Champaran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Sri Pankaj Kumar Pal, Principal Secretary, Rural Works Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. Amrendra Kumar Sinha, The Engineer-in-Chief Rural Works Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. Sri Nirmal Kumar, The Chief Engineer 3, Rural Works Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. Sri Deep Narayan Prasad, The Superintending Engineer, Rural Works Department, Work Circle, Sitamarhi, Sitamarhi.
5. Sri Rajesh Ratnakar, The Executive Engineer, Rural Works Department, Government of Bihar, Patna, Work Division, Sheohar at Sitamarhi.
6. Sri Md. Ansarul Haque, The Assistant Engineer, Rural Works Department, Government of Bihar, Patna, Work Division, Sheohar at Sitamarhi.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Kanishk Kaustubh, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ajay, GA-5
Mr. AC to GA-5

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA)

4 17-04-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned AC to GA-5.

2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State submits that the instant contempt application has been filed seeking initiation of a contempt proceeding against a contemnor





opposite parties for their willful and deliberate defiance of the order dated 10.10.2022 in CWJC No. 22813 of 2019 passed by the Hon'ble Division Bench.

3. It is submitted that the order dated 10.10.2022 in CWJC No. 22813 of 2019 has been complied and a show-cause to that effect has been filed.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner though does not dispute the submission made by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State, but then submits that he needs some time to seek instruction in the matter.

5. Be that as it may, this Court is not inclined to proceed with the contempt application any further, as such, the contempt application is dismissed with liberty to the petitioner to seek his remedy in accordance with law before an appropriate forum, if any grievance still remains to be redressed.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

(Harish Kumar, J)

shivank/rohit-

