



2025:PATHC:93183

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.15068 of 2025

Sangeeta Kumari W/o Sujeet Kumar Removed Anganwadi Sevika, Centre Nagwan, Code- 129, Ghoshi, Jehanabad, Panchayat Parwan, Block- Ghoshi, P.S.- Ghoshi, District- Jehanabad.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Ministry of Social Welfare, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. Commissioner, Magadh Division, Gaya.
4. The District Magistrate, Jehanabad.
5. District Programme Officer, Jehanabad.
6. Child Development Project Officer (CDPO) Ghoshi, Jehanabad.
7. Jayanti Kumari, W/o Mritunjay Village- Nagwan Bigha, Ward No.- 2, Block- Ghoshi, P.S.- Ghoshi, District- Jehanabad.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Satya Veer, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Standing Counsel (4)

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY
ORAL ORDER

2 14-10-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel for the respondents.

2. The petitioner has filed the instant application for the following relief(s):

“(i) For the issuance of a rule in the nature of writ of mandamus or any other writ/writs for set aside the order of and respondent no. 5 dated 11.02.2023 passed in Anganwadi first appeal no. 19/selection/22 and respondent no. 4 order dated 21.04.2025 in appeal no. 05/DM/2023 by which the selection of the petitioner from the post of





Anganwadi sewika was terminated without considering the facts and provisions of guidelines for selection of Sewika.”

3. At the outset, learned counsel for the respondents raises a preliminary objection with respect to an alternative and efficacious remedy being available to the petitioner of challenging the order impugned dated 11.2.2023 passed by the District Programme Officer, Jehanabad as per the provisions contained in the Guidelines for Anganwari Sevika/Sahayika recruitment, 2016.

4. After some argument, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw this application to take steps in accordance with the aforesaid guidelines/provisions.

5. Without going into the merits of the case of the parties, the application stands disposed of as withdrawn with the aforesaid liberty.

(Partha Sarthy, J)

Saurabh/-

U			
---	--	--	--

