



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.15022 of 2025**

Krishang Kumar Son of Shri Murlidhar Prasad, resident of 101 Ramayan Apartment, JP Das Lane, Near Aparna Apartment, New Dakbungalow Road, P.S.- Gandhi Maidan, District- Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, General Administration Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Additional Chief Secretary, Health Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Secretary, Road Construction Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
5. The Secretary, Urban Development and Housing Department, Government of Bihar.
6. The Bihar Public Service Commission through its Chairman, 15 Jawaharlal Nehru Marg, Bailey Road, Patna - 800001.
7. The Controller of Examination, Bihar Public Service Commission 15 Jawaharlal Nehru Marg, Bailey Road, Patna - 800001.

... .. Respondent/s

**Appearance :**

|                      |   |                                 |
|----------------------|---|---------------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s | : | Mr. Uday Pratap Singh, Advocate |
| For the State        | : | Mr. K.N.Jha, AC to GP-11        |
| For the BPSC         | : | Mr. Sanjay Pandey, Advocate     |
|                      |   | Mr. Nishant Kumar Jha, Advocate |

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      14-10-2025                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel for the respondents.

2. The petitioner has filed the instant application for the following relief(s):

*“A. For issuance of an appropriate writ in the nature of mandamus or any other appropriate writ, order, or direction commanding the Respondents to include the sanctioned posts of Assistant Engineer (Electrical) in the Health Department and Urban*





*Development and Housing Department, among others, in Advertisement No. 31/2025 issued by the Bihar Public Service Commission, to the extent such vacancies have been sanctioned and remain unfilled by regular appointment.*

*B. For any other order(s), direction(s) as deemed fit by this Hon'ble Court in the facts and circumstances of the present case.”*

3. After some argument, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw this application to pursue the grievances raised in the instant application before the respondents-authorities.

4. Without going into the merits of the case of the parties, the application stands disposed of as withdrawn.

**(Partha Sarthy, J)**

Saurabh/-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|

