



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.14725 of 2025

Mintu Paswan Son of Late Laxman Paswan @ Lachhuman Hazari, Resident of Ward No. 5, Rupahara, P.S.- Sikarganj, District- East Champaran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Revenue Department, Bihar, Patna.
2. The Collector, Motihari, East Champaran.
3. The D.C.L.R., Sikarahna, Dhaka, East Champaran.
4. The S.D.O., Sikarahna, Dhaka, East Champaran.
5. The Circle Officer, Chiraiya, East Champaran, Motihari.
6. The S.H.O., Sikarganj, East Champaran, Motihari.
7. Shyam Upadhyay, Son of Late Barma Upadhyay, Resident of Village- Rupahara, P.S.- Shikarganj, District- East Champaran, Motihari.
8. Gyan Upadhyay, Son of Late Barma Upadhyay, Resident of Village- Rupahara, P.S.- Shikarganj, District- East Champaran, Motihari.
9. Soni Devi, Wife of Shyam Upadhyay, Resident of Village- Rupahara, P.S.- Shikarganj, District- East Champaran, Motihari.
10. Baby Devi, Wife of Gyan Upadhyay, Resident of Village- Rupahara, P.S.- Shikarganj, District- East Champaran, Motihari.
11. Sunaina Devi, Wife of Late Barma Upadhyay, Resident of Village- Rupahara, P.S.- Shikarganj, District- East Champaran, Motihari.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mrs. Rashmi Jha, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Government Pleader (26)

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

3 19-01-2026 Heard the parties.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the grant of following relief(s):

“for issuance of an appropriate writ/order/direction particularly in the nature of mandamus, directing the respondent No.3 to





expeditiously dispose of the case No.26/2025-2026 filed by the petitioner on 11.07.2025 with reasoned and speaking order.

Further be pleased to direct the respondent No.5 and 6 not to take any initiative to dispossess the petitioner from the bandobast land bearing Khata No.12, Khesra No.2492/4409 and 2820, Mauza- Rupahara, Thana No.115, Anchal- Chiraiya, East Champaran.

Further direction may be given to respondent no.6 to take stern action against the private respondent No.7 to 11 for harassing the petitioner and others by setting their huts on fire and forcefully tried to remove them from the said land.

Further any other relief(s) as your Lordships may think fit and proper.”

3. In this case on 18.09.2025, three weeks' time was granted to remove the defect.

4. As it was a peremptory order and not complied, it stood dismissed on 09.10.2025.

5. Perused the file, consigned.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

vinayak/-

U			
---	--	--	--

