



2025:PATHC:91924

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.20093 of 2018

Abul Kalam s/o Late Haji Abdul Jabbar R/o Village- Dihia, Police Station- Kasba, District- Purnia

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The Collector, Purnia.
3. The Sub Divisional Officer Sadar, within the District of Purnia.
4. The Anchal Adhikari at Krityanand Nagar within the District of Purnia.
5. Smt. Kishori Devi W/o Shri Dayanand Singh, R/o village- Champanagar, Police Station- Krityanand Nagar, District- Purnia.
6. Baiju Uraon s/o Late Mahabir Uraon.
7. Haffan Manjhi Son of Late Lakhan Manjhi.No-6 and 7 Residents of village - Banaili, Police Station -

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : None.
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Viveka Nand Singh, AC to GP -18

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 14-10-2025 No one appears on behalf of the petitioner though the State is present.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the following relief/s:

(i) for quashing the order dated 06.3.2018 at Annexure-8 passed by the respondent No-2 by which the Misc. Ceiling Case No-641 of 1990-91 has been dismissed and dropped in the light of the letter No-252 (8)/R dated 21.3.2017 issued by the Principal Secretary,





*Department of Revenue and Land Reforms
Government of Bihar and the Bihar Land
Reforms (Fixation of Ceiling Ara and
Acquisition of Surplus Land) Amendment Act
of 2016 by which the provisions of Section
45 B of the said Act has been deleted;*

*(ii) for quashing of the Notification No-2488
dated-01.8.1986 published in the extra
ordinary issue No. 14 of the Purnia district
gazette at Annexure. So far it relates to the
lands in question with a direction to the
respondent No-2 to publish a denotification
therefor;*

*(iii) for issuance of a direction to the
respondent No-2 to consider the case of the
petitioner on its own merits which has been
pending for about twenty eight (28) years
before him right from 1990;*

*(iv) for issuance of a further direction to the
respondents authorities not to resort to
dispossession of the petitioner from the lands
in question till the disposal of the claim of*





the petitioner;

(v) for any other relief or reliefs as deemed

fit and proper in the interest of Justice.

3. The writ petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Ravi/-

U			
---	--	--	--

