



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.14806 of 2025

Angad Kumar Upadhyay, S/o Late Satish Chandra Upadhyay, Resident of
Gopidih, Jamui, Distirct-Jamui.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Principal Secretary, Rural Works department,
Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The principal Secretary, Rural Works Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Engineer-in-Chief, Rural Works Department, Vishveshwarya Bhawan,
Bailey Road, Patna.
4. The Nodal Officer, PMGSY (Pradhan Mantri Gramin Sadak Yogana) Bihar,
Patna.
5. The Chief Engineer-3, Rural Works Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
6. The Superintendent Engineer, Rural Works Department Govt. of Bihar,
Patna.
7. The Executive Engineer Rural Works Department Work Division, Jhajha.
8. The Zonal Manager, S.B.I. Patna.
9. The Branch Manager, S.B.I., Ziradei, Siwan.
10. Arun Kumar Jaiswal S/o Jagdeo Prasad Jaiswal Resident of Surwal, P.O. and
P.S.-Ziradei, Distirct-Siwan.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Ritesh Ranjan, Advocate Mr. Shashi Bhushan Singh, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Additional Advocate General (9)
For the SBI	:	Mr. Wasi Mohammad, Advocate Mr. Abbas Haider, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHAILENDRA SINGH
ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH)

5 22-04-2026

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. The following reliefs have been sought in the
present writ application:





“(1) For issuance of an appropriate writ in the nature of Certiorari for quashing the decision dated 04.07.2025 contained in Memo no. 2549 dated 07.07.2025 and Memo no. 2735 dated 28.07.2025 of the Technical Bid Committee with respect to respondent no.10 by which the Technical Bid Committee has qualified respondent no.10 ignoring the condition of NIT by which Bank certificate had to produce in due formate as give in Model Bidding Documents (hereinafter MBD) and also for quashing the decision of Financial Bid Committee by which respondent no.10 has declared as the lowest bidder.

(II) For issuance of an appropriate writ in the nature of Mandamus, Commanding and directing the respondents to direct Technical Bid Committee to re-examine the documents of all the bidders taking into account of the complaint filed by the petitioner and place the names of qualified bidders before the Financial Bid Committee and in case the petitioner becomes lowest bidder work order may be given to him.

(III) For issuance of any other appropriate writ/writs, order/orders, direction/ directions for which the writ petitioner shall be found entitled under the facts and circumstances of the case.”

3. Mr. Ritesh Ranjan, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner, seeks permission to withdraw the present writ application as the same has become infructuous.





4. Mr. Wasi Mohammad, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State Bank of India, raises no objection.

5. Permission is accorded.

6. Accordingly, the present writ application is dismissed as having become infructuous.

7. Pending application, if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(Sudhir Singh, J)

(Shailendra Singh, J)

Sujit/-

U			
---	--	--	--

