



2025:PATHC:93058

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.15212 of 2025

=====

Anil Kumar Chaurasia Son of Late Parasnath Chaurasia, Resident of Mohalla
Makan No. 52 Adarsh Colony Gali No. 4, Nooranganj Sasaram P.O. and P.S.
Sasaram District Rohtas, Bihar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. The Additional Chief Secretary, Revenue Department Govt. of Bihar.
3. The District Magistrate, Rohtas.
4. The Circle Officer Sheosagar, Anchal Sheosagar District - Rohtas.
5. The Superintendent of Police Rohtas, Bihar.
6. The Deputy Collector Land Reforms, Sasaram.
7. The S.H.O Sheosagar Police Station District Rohtas.
8. Bhola Chaurasia, Son of Late Sheopujan Bhagat, resident of village
Chandwa P.S. Sheo Sagar, District- Rohtas.
9. Vijay Prasad Chaurasia, Son of Late Sheopujan Bhagat, resident of village
Chandwa P.S. Sheo Sagar, District- Rohtas.
10. Sunil Chaurasia, Son of Late Sheopujan Bhagat, resident of village Chandwa
P.S. Sheo Sagar, District- Rohtas.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Rajesh Kumar Singh, Adv.
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Raghvendra Kumar, S.C- 22,

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHAILENDRA SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 13-10-2025 The instant writ application has been filed under

Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking the following
reliefs:-

*“1. That this application is being filed in the
nature of mandamus for issuance of writ (S), order (S)
direction (S) Commanding upon the concerned Respondent
to take lawful step in protecting the land of the petitioner
that is under threat as in spite of several representation and
fixing date by issuing notice, no step is being taken that is
contrary to the Bihar Tenancy Act that cart obligation to the
authority to demarcate the land by measuring the same*





application for measurement already submitted through online.”

The main grievance of the petitioner is that despite several representations having been filed by the petitioner for demarcation of his land, no step has been taken by the Circle Officer for demarcating the petitioner's land and in this regard, the petitioner's counsel has drawn the court's attention to the Annexure-P2 series which show that the petitioner was directed to deposit the required fee and only thereafter the date for demarcation was to be fixed. The petitioner's counsel is not certain whether the required fee has been deposited by the petitioner or not and further Annexure-P4 series shows that the petitioner has made allegation of encroachment against the private respondents for which the petitioner has efficacious remedy under the specific civil laws in this regard.

Accordingly, this Court does not find any merit in this writ petition at this stage and the same is premature, hence, the writ petition stands disposed of as being not entertainable at this stage.

(Shailendra Singh, J)

siddharthkr/-

U			
---	--	--	--

