



2026:PATHC:6300

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.2104 of 2015

-
1. Lallan Singh Son of Late Ramvilash Singh
 2. Ramchandra Singh Son of Late Ramvilash Singh
 3. Ashok Singh Son of Late Ram Naresh Singh
 4. Arvind Singh Son of Late Ram Naresh Singh
 5. Mithlesh Singh Son of Late Ram Naresh Singh
 6. Kumar Om Son of Late Ram Vilash Singh All are resident of village - Kerka Tola Khadha, P.S. - Nabinagar and District - Aurangabad.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar.
2. The District Magistrate, Aurangabad.
3. The Additional Collector, Aurangabad.
4. The District Land Acquisition Officer, Aurangabad.

... .. Respondent/s

with
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 2072 of 2015

1. Brijkishore Paswan Son of Late Hari Paswan
2. Modrika Paswan Son of Late Faudar Paswan Both are resident of Village - Mangabar, P.S. - Nabinagar and District - Aurangabad.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar.
2. The District Magistrate, Aurangabad.
3. The Additional Collector, Aurangabad.
4. The District Land Acquisition officer, Aurangabad.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

(In Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 2104 of 2015)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Anirudh Kumar Verma, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Tripurari Nath Ambastha, AC to SC-26
For the NTPC : Mr. Tuhin Shankar, Advocate

(In Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 2072 of 2015)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Anirudh Kumar Verma, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. A.B. Sinha, GA-8
Ms. Kalpana, AC to GA-8
For the NTPC : Mr. Tuhin Shankar, Advocate





CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

8 20-01-2026 Heard the parties.

2. The present petitions have been preferred for the following relief(s):

“(i) For quashing/ setting aside the notice dated 8.02.14 issued by the concerned respondent under section 12(2) of the Land Acquisition Act arising out of L.A. case no. 35/2012-13 which is arbitrary, irrational and contrary to the Act and which has been issued leaving the objection/claim made by the petitioners un disposed u/s 9 of the Land Acquisition Act.

(ii) For further directing the authorities concerned to hear the objection/claim made in pursuance of the notice issued u/s 9 of the Act by the petitioners who filed their objection in respect of the valuation of the properties under Acquisition and it remains un disposed and there is violation of natural justice because no opportunity of hearing was given and no order was passed on the objection and the authorities arbitrarily prepared award in question.





*(iii) For further restraining the authority
to initiate further proceeding under the Act till
disposal of the matter.”*

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners, Mr. Anirudh Kumar Verma submits that the grievance stands redressed and as such, the petitioner be permitted to withdraw the petitions.

4. Permission accorded.

5. Accordingly, the writ petitions stand disposed of as infructuous.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Adnan/-

U			
---	--	--	--

