



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Letters Patent Appeal No.426 of 2024

In

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.3717 of 2016

Rehana Parveen Md. Sahjahan R/o Pipra Koryahi, Ward No. 11, Panchayat-
Pipra Devas, Block- Barauni, District- Begusarai.

... .. Appellant

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. The Director, Jan Shiksha, Department of Education, Government of Bihar.
3. State Program Officer, Bihar Education Project Council, Shiksha Bhawan, Rashtrabhasa Parishad.
4. The District Magistrate, Begusarai.
5. District Education Officer, Begusarai.
6. The District Program Officer, Primary Education and Literacy, Begusarai
7. Block Education Officer, Barauni, Begusarai.
8. Headmaster, Primary School, Pipradih, Ward No. 11, Block - Barauni, Dist-Begusarai.
9. Md. Ali Akbar, Headmaster, Primary School, Pipradih, Ward No. 11, Block - Barauni, Dist-Begusarai.
10. Smt. Sahun Sultan, W/o Md. Ali Akbar, working as Swaymsevik under Talim Markaj Scheme, Primary School, Pipradih, Ward No. 11, Block- Barauni, Dist- Begusarai.
11. Md. Shamsher Alam, S/o not known, working as Swaymsevak under Talim Markaj Scheme, Primary School, Pipradih, Ward No. 11, Block- Barauni, Dist- Begusarai.

... .. Respondents

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s	:	Mr. Wasi Akhtar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Additional Advocate General (13)
	:	Ms. Anjanaya Singh, AC to AAG-13
For Respo No. 10	:	Mr. Surendra Kumar Singh, Sr. Advocate
	:	Mr. Raj Dular Sah, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK KUMAR SINHA

ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

6 15-10-2025

Appellant has assailed the order of the learned Single





Judge dated 22.03.2024 passed in CWJC No. 3717 of 2016. Learned Counsel for the appellant Mr. Wasi Akhtar submitted that learned Single Judge has committed an error on factual aspects of the matter. It is to be noted that learned Single Judge has rendered decision in an open Court in that event, the concerned Counsel who has appeared should have rectified the factual aspects of the matter when it was dictated. Be that as it may. Appellant's Counsel who had appeared in the writ petition is not the same Counsel in the present LPA. Therefore, we are not aware that what has been transpired on 22.03.2024 among the Bench and Bar in the present case.

2. In light of these facts and circumstances, the appellant has not made out a case so as to interfere with the order of the learned Single Judge. However, liberty is granted to the appellant to invoke Civil Review remedy, if he so advised. All pending Interlocutory Applications, if any, will stand disposed of.

(P. B. Bajanthri, CJ)

(Alok Kumar Sinha, J)

Gaurav Sinha/-

U			
---	--	--	--

