



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.1384 of 2019**

**In**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.3678 of 2011**

Dr. Onkareshwar Prasad S/o Late Akhileshwar Prasad R/o Flat No. 201-D,  
Lekhraj Parishar, Road No. 3, East Patel Nagar, Patna-23, P.S. Shastri Nagar,  
District-Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Sri Deepak Kumar Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, having his office at Old Secretariat, P.s. Sachivalaya, District-Patna
2. Sri Subhas Sharma the Development Commissioner, Government of Bihar, having his office at Old Secretariat, P.s. Sachivalaya, District-Patna
3. Sri Manish Kumar Verma the Secretary, Planning and Development Department, Govt of Bihar, having his office at Old Secretariat, P.s. Sachivalaya, District-Patna
4. Sri S. Siddarth the Finance Commissioner, Govt of Bihar, having his office at Old Secretariat, P.s. Sachivalaya, District-Patna
5. Ms Mani. K the Accountant General, Bihar, Patna having his office at Birchand Patel Path, P.s. Kotwali, District-Patna
6. Sri Amir Subhani the Secretary, personnel and Administrative Reforms Department, Govt of Bihar, having his office at Old Secretariat, P.s. Sachivalaya, District-Patna
7. The Bihar Public Service Commission through Sri Shishir Sinha, the Chairman, Bihar Public Service Commission having his office at 15 Bailey Road, P.S.Sachivalaya, District-Patna
8. Sri Kehsav Ranjan Prasad the Secretary, Bihar Public Service Commission having his office at 15 Bailey Road, P.S.Sachivalaya, District-Patna

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Vikas Mohan, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Vinay Kriti Singh, GA-2
For the BPSC	:	Ms. Prachi Pallavi, Advocate

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY**

ORAL ORDER

2      06-05-2026

Heard the parties.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the  
following relief(s):

*“for initiation of contempt proceeding*





*against the contemnors/opposite parties for their willful disobedience of the order dated 17.01.2019 passed in CWJC No. 3678 of 2011.”*

3. The show cause contains reasoned order vide memo no.1606 dated 03.04.2026 passed by the Additional Director, Planning and Development Department by which the claim of the petitioner has been rejected.

4. Granting liberty to the petitioner to agitate the matter, if any grievance still remains, the present contempt petition stands disposed of.

**(Rajiv Roy, J)**

vinayak/-

U			
---	--	--	--

