



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.4614 of 2026

Shashi Kant Singh, S/o Late Sheojee Singh, R/o Village- Bhagwatpur, P.O. Jalpura tappa, P.S. Chandi, District- Bhojpur, Bihar, presently residence of Mohalla Sanjay Nagar, Road No. 8, Wighrapur, Budda Colony, Patna, PIN-800001, Bihar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Additional Chief Secretary, Revenue and Land Reforms Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Collector, District Bhojpur.
3. The Circle Officer, Koilwar, District Bhojpur.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Asit Kumar Jha, Advocate Mr. Shadwal Harsh, Advocate Mr. Gopal Krishna, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Arvind Ujjawal, SC 4

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KHATIM REZA
ORAL ORDER

2 15-04-2026

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. This writ application has been filed for the

following relief(s):

“A. *For issuance of an appropriate writ(s), order(s), direction(s) commanding the State Government preferably Respondent No. 1 to constitute a high-level committee to enquire about the illegality and irregularity committed by the officials concerned in digitization of Jamabandi in Bhojpur District particularly with respect to the Petitioner's land.*

B. *For issuance of an appropriate writ(s), order(s), direction(s) commanding the concerned officer preferably Respondent No. 3 (Circle Officer, Koilwar, Bhojpur) to act legally upon the application filed by the petitioner for digitization of Jamabandi*





along with relevant documents.”

3. It is apparent from the record as well as order dated 28.10.2025 passed by the Circle Officer, Koilwar, Bhojpur (respondent no.3), that the petitioner has moved this Court directly without availing the remedy of appeal against the aforesaid impugned order.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the order impugned is very cryptic order without assigning any plausible reason.

5. After some argument, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw this writ application with liberty to move before the competent authority against the impugned order.

6. In such view of the matter, this writ application is dismissed as withdrawn.

7. The petitioner would be at liberty to move before the competent authority against the aforesaid impugned order.

(Khatim Reza, J)

J. Alam/-

U			
---	--	--	--

