



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.3546 of 2026

Savita Kumari wife of Ram Pravesh Kumar, resident of village Bhutoli Malpa, Post- Bhutoli Malpa, P.S.-Chauthan, District - Khagaria.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Social Welfare Department, Govt. of Bihar, Old Secretariate, Patna.
2. The Director, Social Welfare Department, Govt. of Bihar, Old Secretariate, Patna.
3. The District Magistrate, Khagaria.
4. The District Program Officer, Khagaria.
5. The Child Devolvment Program Officer, Chautham, Khagaria.
6. The Mahila Supervisor, Chautham Prakhand, Khagaria.
7. The Head Master of Adarsh Madhya Vidyalaya English, Maheshkhut, Gogari, Khagaria.
8. Smt. Pramila Devi, wife of Surendra Prasad, resident of village- Bhutoli Malpa, Post- Bhutoli Malpa, P.S.-Chauthan, District -Khagaria.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rajiv Krishna Bariar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Government Pleader-04

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RITESH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 16-03-2026 Heard the parties.

2. The present writ petition has been filed for the following reliefs:-

“For the issuance of a writ of certiorari for quashing the Letter No.1335 dated **10.09.2024**(Annexure-17), wherein and whereby it was confirmed the appointment of the respondent no.8 having less marks to the petitioner at the time of the selection procedure but manipulating the marks of the





respondent no.-8 with connivance of the respondents no. 4 to 7 after completing the selection procedure and publishing the final result with the connivance the Child Development Program Officer, Khagaria and the Ladies Supervisor, Dhatauli Panchayat, Khagaria to the post of the Sahayika under Bal Vikash Pariyojana, Ward No.13, Kendra No. 26, Dhatauli Panchayat, Chautham Prakhand, Khagaria against which the petitioner was already selected by the Selection Committee, headed by the Ladies Supervisor, Gram Sabha of Dhatauli Panchayat, Chauthum Prakhand, Khagaria and further commanding the respondents by issuing writ of Mandamus to appoint the petitioner on the post of Sahayika, Dhatauli Panchayat, Khagaria in the light of memo no. 69 dated 29.02.2020, for which the petitioner is entitled too.”

3. At the outset, the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State submits that vide Annexure-18 to the writ petition, the petitioner has already preferred a statutory appeal before the Commissioner, Munger Division, Munger and the same is pending for adjudication, therefore, the present writ petition is premature.

4. On the other hand, the learned counsel for the petitioner submits that although he has filed the appeal on





07.08.2025, but till date, the same has not been decided by the Commissioner, Munger Division, Munger for the reasons best known to him.

5. Having heard the learned counsel for the parties, it appears that the appeal filed by the petitioner is pending for adjudication before the Commissioner, Munger Division, Munger. Accordingly, the petitioner is directed to pursue his appeal, which is said to be pending before the Commissioner, Munger Division, Munger and the Commissioner, Munger Division, Munger is obliged to decide the appeal filed by the petitioner expeditiously.

6. The writ petition is disposed of in the abovementioned terms.

(Ritesh Kumar, J)

Sanjay/-

U			
---	--	--	--

