



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.3935 of 2025

Arvind Kumar S/o Late Ramdev Prasad Sah @ Khublal Sah@Ramdeo Prasad
resident of village- Banwaripur, P.S.- Bhagwanpur, District - Begusarai.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Through the District Magistrate, Begusarai.
2. The Sub Divisional Officer, Teghra, District- Begusarai.
3. The Circle Officer, Bhagwanpur, District - Begusarai.
4. The SHO Bhagwanpur Police Station, District - Begusarai.
5. Balram Prasad Shau, S/o Late Radha Krishna Sahu, Resident of village-
Banwaripur P.S. and Anchal - Bhagwanpur Sub-Registry and Sub Division-
Teghra, District- Begusarai.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Shaishav Kumar
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Standing Counsel (26)

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE NAWNEET KUMAR
PANDEY**

ORAL ORDER

2 20-03-2025 Heard the parties.

2. The present writ petition has been filed by the
petitioner for following reliefs:-

(i) For issuance of writ of mandamus directing the respondent authorities to restrain the respondent no-5 from constructing boundary wall and house on the land bearing Tauzi no- 6833, Thana no- 200, Khata no-80, Keshra no-06 measuring- 0-9-13-0 in mauza- Chakdullam, District Begusarai as the mutation case bearing no. 3088R27/2022-23/ Bhagwanpur filed by him was rejected as contain in Annexure P 3 of this writ application and further demolish the same treating it an illegal structure.

(ii) For issuance of an appropriate writ directing the respondent authorities to maintain law and order situation by taking lawful action on applications dated 29-10-2024 and 16-12-2024 as





contained in annexure P 5 and P 6 respectively of this writ application as also proceeding under section 163 BNSS is also going on between the parties for the land bearing Tauzi no- 6833, Thana no- 200, Khata no-80, Keshra no-06 measuring-0-9-13-0 in mauza -Chakdullam, District- Begusarai.

(iii) For issuance of writ/writs, order/orders, direction /directions in his favor in the fact and circumstance.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner was declared *sevat* of the disputed property by the competent civil court. The contesting respondents preferred appeal which was dismissed. Thereafter, the contesting respondents preferred Second Appeal No. 98 of 2019 which is pending before this Court.

4. Learned counsel has submitted further that despite the fact that the petitioner has been declared *sevat*, the respondents are interfering with his possession over the disputed land.

5. Be that as it may, Second Appeal is pending, so the petitioner may seek redressal for his grievance in Second Appeal.

6. With these observations, the writ petition stands disposed of.

(Nawneet Kumar Pandey, J)

kundan/-

U			
---	--	--	--

