



2025:PATHC:91713

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.18666 of 2016

=====

Satya Narayan Mahto Son of Khublal mahto resident of Village Laukhan,
Tola- Koraiya Police Station Ghorasshan, District- East Champaran, Motihari.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The Anchaladhikari, Ghorasahan, Police Station Ghorashan, District East Champaran Motihari.
3. Sri Mahesh Baitha, Son of Chulahi Baitha.
4. Sri Mukhlal Baitha Son of Tapsi Baitha, O.P No. 3 and 4 are residents of Mouza Kaswa Laukhan, Police Station Ghorasahan, P.O. Laukhan Via Dhake, District East Champaran, Motihari.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	None
For the State	:	Mr. Rishi Raj Sinha, SC-19

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

3 13-10-2025 No one appears on behalf of the petitioner though
State is present.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the
grant of following relief(s):

*“That this writ petition is directed
against the Notice issued by Anchaladhikari
Karyalay, Ghorasahan, P.S. Ghorasahan,
District-East Champaran, Motihari under seal
and signature of Anchaladhikari, Ghorasahan
dated 05.09.2007 and makes prayer to quash the*





Notice as it is unwarranted, perfectly illegal and without any justification what so ever. By this Notice the petitioner was informed that as per Bhu-Hadbandi Bad Sankhya- 70/74-75 land of Khata No. 104, Khesra No. 1021, Area 0.01 acre has been given to Mukhlal Baitha, Son of Tapsi Baitha and Mahesh Baitha, son of Chulhai Baitha and the petitioner by force has not given possession of the same and further petitioner was directed to appear in the Office of the Anchaladhikari on 17.09.2007 with all proofs and submit show-cause petition and as such makes prayer for the following:

(a) The above mentioned Notice at Annexure-1 may kindly be quashed.

(b) This writ application kindly may be allowed with cost.

(c) Any other relief/reliefs which this Hon'ble court finds fit & reasonable may also be given to the petitioner.

3. On 05.08.2025, it was informed by the learned counsel for the petitioner that to ascertain whether the sole





petitioner is alive or not, adjournment be made and accordingly
matter was adjourned.

4. Today on call, there is no appearance, in that
background, the writ petition stands dismissed for non-
prosecution.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

vinayak/-

U			
---	--	--	--

