



2025:PATHC:105782

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.2614 of 2016

Lakshman Prasad Singh S/o - Late Pannu Lal Singh resident of village -
Mainpura, P.O. - G.P.O., P.S. - Patliputra, District - Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The Commissioner-cum-Secretary, Department of Land and Revenue, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. The District Magistrate, Patna.
4. The Land Acquisition Officer, Patna.
5. The Circle Officer, Patna Sadar, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Santosh Bharti, Advocate
	:	Mr. Shri Prakash Shrivastava, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Sarvesh Kumar Singh, AAG-12

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

6 01-12-2025 Heard the parties.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the
grant of following relief(s):

*“(i) to issue a writ in the nature of
mandamus commanding the Respondents No. 3
to 5 to not interfere with the lands bearing
Thana No. 2, Tauzi No. 5731, appertaining an
area of 03 Bigha 07 Katha 10 dhurs executed by
Babu Dina Bhagat, S/o Jeolal Bhagat on
16.06.1950 (Annexure 1) and the lands bearing
Thana No. -2, Tauzi No. 5731, appertaining an
area of 03 Bigha 07 Katha 10 dhurs executed by*





Babu Ram Byas Singh, S/o Late Ambika Dayal Singh by virtue of sale deed dated 16.06.1950 (Annexure 1/A) and in contemplation to the said sale deeds the land in question was mutated in favour of the petitioner by the order of the Respondent No. 5 in connection with Case No. 264/1 of 2004-05 (Annexure -2).

(ii) to issue a writ in the nature of mandamus commanding the Respondents to not interfere with the land of the petitioner, as admittedly, the lands in question are/is in peaceful and uninterrupted possession of the petitioners, but the Respondent Authorities without taking any legal recourse, pertaining to Land Acquisition Act adamant to construct the Water Treatment Plant and Merine Drive (Jai Prakash Path) over the lands of the petitioners.

(iii) any other relief or reliefs in view of the right title and interest subsists in favour of the petitioner.”

3. This matter was taken up on 30.07.2024, 13.08.2024, 29.08.2024 and lastly on 26.09.2024 by the





coordinate Bench and either there was no appearance or prayer for adjournment made.

4. Today, on call it has been informed by Mr. Santosh Bharti that the petitioner has taken away the file.

5. Since, today also on call, as the petitioner who took the file, has not put in any appearance, the writ petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

vinayak/-

U			
---	--	--	--

